



CRM-M-53970-2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

111

CRM-M-53970-2025
Decided on: 25.02.2026

Manjeet Singh and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. V.S. Kathpal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG Punjab

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of FIR No.112 dated 19.08.2025, under Sections 126(2), 324(4), 304, 115(2), 351(2), 191(3) and 190 of BNS (previously sections 341, 427, 323, 506, 148, 149 of IPC) at PS Sadar Jalalabad, District Fazilka.

2. On 26.09.2025, following order was passed:

“(i) Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:

Name of Petitioner(s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
(i) Manjeet Singh, aged about 37 years, (2) Gurmeet Singh, aged about 38 years	112	19.08.2025	126(2), 324(4), 304, 115(2), 351(2), 191(3) and 190 of BNS (previously sections 341, 427, 323, 506, 148, 149 of IPC)	Sadar Jalalabad	Fazilka



CRM-M-53970-2025

(ii) On the very outset, counsel for the petitioners produces a photocopy of the Medico-Legal Report (MLR) dated 16.08.2025 pertaining to the injured-complainant, Satpal Singh, and same is ordered to be taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the document at the appropriate place in the case file.

(iii) As per the MLR, Satpal Singh has sustained total eight injuries. Counsel for the petitioners submits that all the injuries have been declared simple in nature. It is yet to be established whether these injuries were actually caused during the alleged altercation or are self-inflicted, particularly as most of the injuries are abrasions located on non-vital parts of the body.

(iv) With respect to the individual allegations, counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 - Manjeet Singh is stated to have inflicted a blow with an iron rod on the complainant's left thigh, which has also been classified as simple in nature. Petitioner No.2 - Gurmeet Singh is alleged to have delivered a sword blow to the backside of the complainant's neck. However, counsel for the petitioner argues that MLR does not indicate the presence of any sharp-edged injury on the neck, thereby casting doubt on the nature and veracity of the allegation. Moreover, petitioners are ready to join the investigation and fully cooperate, if protected from arrest by this Court. Thus, prays for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in the present case.

(v) Notice of motion.

(vi) On advance notice, Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab, puts in appearance on behalf of the respondent State, and seeks some time to file status report in the matter.

(vii) Adjourned to 11.12.2025.

(viii) Meanwhile, the petitioners are directed to join the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Agency. In the event of their arrest, the petitioners shall be released on ad-interim bail, subject to their furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioners shall also abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).

(ix) Besides, it is directed that petitioners would hand over their passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if they possess. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that they do not possess any passport.

It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioners would seek prior permission of the Court. ”

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in pursuance to the directions issued by this Court vide order dated 26.09.2025, the petitioners have



CRM-M-53970-2025

joined investigation.

5. Learned State counsel on instructions, confirms the said averment made by counsel for the petitioners of joining the investigation by the petitioners on 04.10.2025 and submits that as of now, custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required for the purpose of investigation.

6. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

7. Since, petitioners have already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated 26.09.2025 passed by this Court is hereby made absolute. Accordingly, present petition is allowed.

8. The petitioners shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

9. However, present order would be subject to the submission of their passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if they possess, within a period of one week from today. Otherwise, they would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that they do not possess any passport.

10. It is clarified that in case, aforesaid condition is not complied with, this order would be considered as *non est* automatically.

25.02.2026

reena

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES/NO
~~YES~~/NO