



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

205

CRM-M-54093-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 13.01.2026

Hardeep Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. C.S. Rana, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG Punjab

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.149 dated 02.08.2025, registered under Sections 15, 25 & 29 of NDPS Act, at Police Station Sadar, Jagraon, District Ludhiana Rural.

2. On 06.11.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case. His name surfaced based on the disclosure statement of co-accused Shiv Kumar from whom the alleged contraband was recovered. In this regard, averments have been made in para 3(c) of the petition and Annexures P2 to P4 being the affidavits executed which in the reply filed by DSP (D), District Ludhiana (Rural) dated 05.11.2025, in compliance to the order passed by this Court, which is taken on record, has been confirmed from statement of Advocate Dharam Singh dated 31.10.2025, Annexure R1, who had notarized the same. The last call between them is 21.07.2025 while the FIR was registered on 02.08.2025. He is not involved in any other case. In this regard, reliance is placed on the judgment passed by Hon’ble The Supreme Court in the case of **Tofan Singh vs. State of Tamil Nadu**, 2021(1) RCR (Cr.) 1. He is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Adjourned to 13.01.2026.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 17.11.2025. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction

of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) BNSS.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated. ”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the afore-mentioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.
4. Learned State counsel on instructions affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.
5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 06.11.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 482(2) BNSS.
6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

13.01.2026

M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No