



284-1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-53891-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 16.02.2026

GOREV BHALLA AND OTHERS**...PETITIONERS****VERSUS****STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS****...RESPONDENTS****CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL**

Present: Mr. Anosh Samson, Advocate
for petitioners.

Mr. Bareen Pratap Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Shivam Kapila, Advocate for
Mr. Fateh Singh Dhillon, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 4.

SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL J.

1. Petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.76 dated 09.07.2021 under Sections 324, 323, 295, 506, 148 and 149 IPC 1860, Police Station Ghuman, Police District Batala on the basis of compromise 11.07.2025.
2. On 24.09.2025, this Court directed the parties to appear before learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording of their statements on the basis of compromise dated 11.07.2025.
3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, petitioners and respondents No.2 to 4 appeared before learned JMIC, Batala on 04.12.2025 and 15.11.2025 respectively. Statement of Investigating Officer ASI Rajinderpal was recorded on 17.12.2025. Learned JMIC, Batala has submitted her report recording satisfaction that all the parties have entered

into a valid compromise without any influence or coercion. Point-wise report as under has been submitted:

“(1) There is total nine persons namely Gorev Bhalla son of Harjit Bhalla, aged about 53 years, R/o Mohalla Bewaiya, Shri Hargobindpur Tehsil Batala District Gurdaspur (Aadhar Card No. 8268 6248 2046), Sonu Bhalla @ Sandeep Bhalla, son of Harjit Singh Bhalla aged about 45 years, R/o Mohalla Bawiyian Shri Hargobindpur Tehsil Batala District Gurdaspur (Aadhar Card No. 7397 0859 0088), Sona @ Sonu son of Makha, aged about 46 years R/o village Mari Buchian District Gurdaspur (Aadhar Card No. 4704 9247 9404), Baldev Singh @ Deba son of Lakha Singh aged about 54 years, R/o Mari Buchian Tehsil Batala District Gurdaspur (Aadhar Card No. 2634 5627 2207), Gurmej Singh @ Kungri son of Baldev Singh, R/o village Mari Buchian Tehsil Batala District Gurdaspur aged about 29 years (Aadhar Card No. 8186 4232 3649), Hardeep Singh son of Sukhdev Singh aged about 39 years R/o H. No. 28/8 Julkian Wali Gali Post Office Shri Hargobindpur Tehsil Batala District Gurdaspur (Aadhar Card No. 7568 9473 4300), Daler @Raju Singh son of Gurmukh Singh aged about 37 years R/o Ward No. 2 Tehsil Batala Post Office Shri Hargobindpur District Gurdaspur (Aadhar Card No. 4360 6263 4160), Ravinder Singh @ Ravi son of Gurnam Singh aged about 41 years, R/o VPO Mari Buchian Tehsil Batala District Gurdaspur (Aadhar Card No. 6116 6241 3729) and Swaran Singh @ Swaranjit Singh son of Bhagwan Singh aged about 34 years, R/o village Rampur Tehsil Batala Shri Hargobindpur District

Gurdaspur (Aadhar Card No. 9990 0750 9347) arraigned as accused persons in the present FIR.

(ii) All the nine accused have appeared and got recorded their statement.

(iii) No other additional accused has been added.

(iv) As per the statement of IO, none of the accused person is declared absconder/proclaimed person.

(v) After registration of FIR, no other offence was added or deleted.

(vi) No

(vii) Names of complainant/injured Sarabjit Singh son of Gurbax Singh, Sardool Singh son of Sohan Singh and Gurdiat Singh son of Joginder Singh.

(viii) Yes, all the injured/aggrieved have appeared and recorded their statement.

(ix) The case is pending at the stage of evidence of prosecution.

(x) The compromise between the parties is genuine, voluntarily and out of free will of the parties.

(xi) No other criminal case or proceedings is pending against the any of the accused persons.”

4. Learned State counsel and learned counsel for respondents No.2 to 4 have not raised any dispute regarding the factum of compromise between petitioner and respondents No.2 to 4.

5. Since the matter has been amicably settled between the parties, continuation of the criminal proceedings would be an exercise in futility. Following principles of law laid down by the Full Bench Judgment of this Court in “*Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another*” 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Hon’ble Supreme Court in “*Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others*” (2012) 10 SCC 303, the petition is allowed.
6. FIR No.76 dated 09.07.2021 under Sections 324, 323, 295, 506, 148 and 149 IPC 1860, Police Station Ghuman, Police District Batala and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are quashed qua the petitioners.
7. Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of.

16.02.2026
Sumit Singla

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes/No

Whether reportable

:

Yes/No