



CRA-S-3620-2024

#1#

(318)
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRA-S-3620-2024

Date of Decision: 09.03.2026

MANJEET SINGH @ GULLU

... Appellant

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CRA-S-3622-2024

MUKUL @ HIMANSHU

... Appellant

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CRA-S-3611-2024

SUNIL ALIAS SILLA

... Appellant

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Manav Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Partap Singh, Advocate
for the appellants in CRA-S-3620-2024 &
CRA-S-3622-2024.

Mr. Sehej Sandhawalia, Advocate
for the appellant in CRA-S-3611-2024

Ms. Geeta Rani, Asstt. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Yajat Gill, Advocate
for the complainant/injured.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)



CRA-S-3620-2024

#2#

This order shall dispose of three appeals bearing No.CRA-S-3620-2024 titled as Manjeet Singh alias Gullu versus State of Haryana, CRA-S-3622-2024 titled as Mukul alias Himanshu Versus State of Haryana and CRA-S-3611-2024 titled as Sunil alias Silla Versus State of Haryana as the same are arising out of the same FIR. However, for the sake of convenience the facts have been taken from CRA-S-3620-2024.

The present appeals have been preferred by the appellants against the judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 09/12.08.2024 passed by the Addl. Sessions Judge-cum-Children’s Court.

2. The appellants faced trial in FIR No.448 dated 03.12.2016 U/s 323, 326, 506, 307 r/w 34 IPC, P.S. Civil Line, Kaithal and came to be convicted and sentenced by the Court of Addl. Sessions Judge-cum-Children’s Court vide judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 09/12.08.2024 as under:-

Sr.No.	Offence under Sections	Imprisonment	Fine	In default of fine imprisonment
1.	Section 323 r/w 34 IPC	RI for a period of 01 year	Rs.1000/-	SI for 01 month
2.	Section 307 r/w 34 IPC	RI for a period of 07 years months	Rs.8000/-	SI for 07 months
3.	Section 506 r/w 34 IPC	RI for a period of 02 years	Rs.1000/-	SI 02 months

All the aforesaid sentences were ordered to run concurrently.

4. They preferred three separate appeals before this Court vide CRA-S-3620-2024, CRA-S-3622-2024 and CRA-S-3611-2024.

5. The learned counsel for the appellants contends that they do not wish to challenge their conviction but as a compromise has been arrived at



CRA-S-3620-2024

#3#

between the parties, their sentence be reduced to the period already undergone by them.

7. On the other hand, the learned State counsel along with the learned counsel for the complainant/injured contend that a settlement dated 28.09.2024 (Annexure A-1) has been arrived at and he has no objection if the sentence of the appellants be reduced to the period already undergone by them.

8. I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

9. In view of the fact that the appellants have not challenged their conviction, the present appeals stand dismissed.

10. However, keeping in view the compromise has been arrived at between the parties, the sentence of the appellants is reduced to the period already undergone by them i.e. 06 months and 01 days respectively out of the sentence awarded of 07 years subject to them depositing Rs.25000/- each with the Punjab and Haryana High Court Employees Welfare Association, Account no. 37167209613 IFSC Code:-SBIN0050306 State Bank of India, High Court Branch, Chandigarh. However, the sentence of fine in default shall remain intact.

12. The present appeals stand disposed of in the above terms.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

09.03.2026
jitesh

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No