



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**232**

**LPA-2955-2024 (O&M)**

**Decided on : 23.03.2026**

RAM KUMAR

...Appellant

Versus

SUPERINTENDING CANAL OFFICER AND OTHERS

. . . Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

PRESENT: Ms. Ishani Goyal, Advocate for  
Ms. Deepika, Advocate for the appellant.

Ms. Yatin Bunker, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. SPS Tinna, Advocate for  
Ms. Geeta Dahiya, Advocate for respondent No. 4.

\*\*\*\*

**HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI , J. (Oral)**

1. In the present appeal, the challenge is to the impugned order dated 01.10.2024 passed by learned Single Judge, whereby, the order dated 19.06.2018 (Annexure P-3) passed by learned Divisional Canal Officer, Abohar Canal Division, Abohar (herein after referred to as 'DCO') under Section 68 (5) of Northern India Canal and Drainage Act, 1873, has been upheld.

2. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the appellant submits that the facts which were already on record including the fact that another *dickey* situated on the land in question, had only been closed to prevent wastage of water, could not be brought to the notice of the learned Single Judge, therefore, the present appeal may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further, with liberty to the appellant to file a review before the learned Single Judge.



LPA-2955-2024 (O&M)

3. Ordered accordingly.
4. Pending civil miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)  
JUDGE

( VIKAS SURI )  
JUDGE

23.03.2026

Riya

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/~~No~~

Whether Reportable: ~~Yes~~/No