



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-28290-2025
DECIDED ON:16.04.2026

NEHA

.....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Karan Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Prajwal Chauhan, Advocate
for respondents/UOI

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents issue/release the result of the petitioner who has already clear the required exams and interview as conducted by the respondents even after conducting the medical test of the petitioner who has also cleared so, further direction may be given to the authorities to issue the result of the petitioner immediately and further process his case for recruitment expeditiously since the petitioner has qualified the test and found to be medically fit.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner applied pursuant to the recruitment notification issued by the Staff Selection Commission for the post of Constable (GD) in the Central Armed Police Forces, SSF, Assam

Rifles and Sepoy in the Narcotics Control Bureau Examination, 2024. It is submitted that upon being found eligible, the petitioner appeared in the written examination conducted on 21.02.2024 and was declared qualified on 10.07.2024. It is further contended that the petitioner thereafter successfully participated in all subsequent stages of the selection process, including PST/PET, document verification and detailed medical examination conducted on 28.10.2024, and cleared the same.

It is argued that despite completion of the entire selection process, the respondents have failed to declare the final result of the petitioner without any justifiable reason. Learned counsel submits that such non-declaration of result, despite the petitioner having qualified all stages, is arbitrary, lacks transparency and is violative of the petitioner's rights. On these premises, the present writ petition has been filed seeking appropriate directions.

In the present case, notice of motion was issued on 31.10.2025.

At this stage, learned counsel for respondents/UOI informs the Court that the petitioner has already been appointed for which he has produced appointment letter dated 24.01.2026, which is taken on record.

In the light of above, nothing survives in the present petition.

Accordingly, the same is disposed off having been rendered infructuous.

16.04.2026

Meenu

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No