



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.105

CWP-29159-2025

Date of Decision: 26.02.2026

Jagjiwan

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. N.K. Malhotra, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Aakash Singla, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (ORAL)

The petition has been filed *inter alia* seeking a direction to the respondents to release pensionary benefits to the petitioner in terms of the Haryana Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 2016 (for short, 'the 2016 Rules').

2. On an earlier date learned State counsel had contended that the claim was not admissible since the petitioner was compulsorily retired from service with effect from 21.08.2010, whereas the 2016 Rules were notified subsequently.

3. In this view of the matter, on 08.01.2026, learned counsel for the petitioner had restricted the claim in the petition to payment of interest on retiral benefits at the rate of six per cent per annum in terms of the directions issued by this Court vide order dated 04.02.2020 passed in CWP No.18983 of 2015.

4. In this regard, learned State counsel contends that entire due benefits in terms of the aforementioned order have already been released to the petitioner, the details whereof have been given in the memo dated 03.02.2026, Annexure 'A'.



5. The fact of payment of dues is not disputed by learned counsel for the petitioner, but he contends that the calculation of interest has been wrongly done by the respondents, and as a result interest component has been partially paid. The petitioner may be permitted to make an appropriate representation to the fourth respondent in this regard.
6. Learned State counsel contends that in case any such representation is submitted by the petitioner before the fourth respondent- District Education Officer, Jhajjar, within four weeks from today, an appropriate decision thereupon will be taken in accordance with law, within three months of receiving the representation.
7. In view of the statement, learned counsel for the petitioner has no objection to the petition being disposed of in terms thereof.
8. Ordered accordingly.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

26.02.2026
Maninder

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No