



CRM-M-53149-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

323

**CRM-M-53149-2025
Date of decision: 26.02.2026****WARYAM SINGH AND OTHERS****.... PETITIONER(S)****VERSUS****STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS****...RESPONDENT(S)****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI**

Present: Ms. Bindu Bala, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Athar Ahmed, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. S.K. Chaudhary, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 to 4.

(THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING)

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of an FIR No.179 dated 04.10.2020 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 323, 324, 148 and 149 and 506 IPC (Sections 325, 427 and 201 IPC added later on) at Police Station Sadar, District Pathankot along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of a compromise arrived at between the petitioners and respondent Nos.2 to 4.

Vide order dated 19.09.2025, this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded with regard to the compromise dated 20.03.2025 (Annexure P-2).

The Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court was to submit a report in this regard giving certain details as enumerated in the said order.

Pursuant to the order dated 19.09.2025, passed by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, the parties have appeared before the



CRM-M-53149-2025

2

learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Pathankot and as per the report dated 26.11.2025 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complaint coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in ***“Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543”***.

In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Pathankot accompanied by statements of both the parties, the FIR No.179 dated 04.10.2020 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 323, 324, 148 and 149 and 506 IPC (Sections 325, 427 and 201 IPC added later on) at Police Station Sadar, District Pathankot along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

26.02.2026

Kusum

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>