



**142 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-53433-2025(O&M)
Date of Decision : 15.01.2026**

Sham Sunder Chadha

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

Ajay Kumar

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANDEEP PANNU

Present: Mr. G.S.Madaan, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANDEEP PANNU J. (Oral)

1. The present criminal revision petition has been filed challenging the order dated 28.07.2025 passed by the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Chandigarh, whereby the application moved by the petitioner for sending the judicial record to CFSL for forensic examination was dismissed.

2. The case of the petitioner, as averred in the petition, is that respondent had filed a criminal complaint under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act in respect of dishonour of two cheques. It is pleaded that during the course of preliminary evidence, the complainant allegedly interpolated and tampered with the judicial record by changing Annexures into Exhibits and by altering dates on the affidavit and documents filed on record. According to the petitioner, such interpolation was apparent on the face of the record and, therefore, an application was moved before the trial Court for sending the judicial file for forensic examination. It is further pleaded



that the learned trial Court dismissed the said application without appreciating the seriousness of the allegations, thereby causing grave prejudice to the petitioner.

3. The petitioner has further averred that the complaint itself is defective as the affidavit in support of the complaint was allegedly manipulated and that the continuation of the proceedings would amount to abuse of the process of law. On these grounds, the petitioner seeks setting aside of the impugned order.

4. This Court has considered the submissions made in the petition and has perused the impugned order. A perusal of the order dated 28.07.2025 shows that the learned trial Court examined the entire record and came to the conclusion that no case of tampering or interpolation of judicial record was made out. The learned Magistrate has recorded a finding that even if the allegations of the petitioner are assumed to be correct, the same have no bearing on the merits of the complaint and do not cause any prejudice to the defence of the accused. It was also noticed that the application appeared to be an attempt to delay the proceedings in a case pending since the year 2019.

5. After independently examining the record, this Court finds no illegality, perversity or material irregularity in the impugned order. The petitioner has failed to demonstrate any apparent tampering of judicial record warranting forensic examination. The findings recorded by the learned trial Court are reasoned and based on proper appreciation of the material available on record. The trial Court has



rightly observed that no useful purpose would be served by sending the judicial file for forensic examination and that such an exercise would only result in unnecessary delay of the trial.

6. This Court is of the considered opinion that the present petition is devoid of merit and does not call for any interference. The impugned order does not suffer from any legal infirmity warranting exercise of revisional jurisdiction.

7. Accordingly, the present criminal revision petition is dismissed.

8. Pending application(s), if any, is/are disposed of.

January 15, 2026
rekha

(MANDEEP PANNU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/non-speaking : Speaking
Whether reportable : Yes/No