

CRM-M-54157-2025(O&M) and other connected cases

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

132

CRM-M-54157-2025(O&M)

Vimal

. . . Petitioner

Versus

State of U.T. of Chandigarh and anr
132-2

. . . Respondent

CRM-M-53211-2025(O&M)

Raj Kumar @ Raj Kumar Balai

. . . Petitioner

Versus

State of U.T. of Chandigarh and anr
132-4

. . . Respondent

CRM-M-2148-2026(O&M)

Nand Lal @ Nandu

. . . Petitioner

Versus

State of U.T. of Chandigarh and anr

. . . Respondent

Decided on : 27.02.2026

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Virender Soni, Advocate for the petitioner
(in CRM-M-54157-2025)

Mr. Pushpinder Kaushal, Advocate for the petitioner
(in CRM-M-53211-2025).

Mr. Shivaly Singh, Advocate for the petitioner
(in CRM-M-2148-2026).

Mr. Manish Bansal, P.P., U.T. Chandigarh.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

CRM-7075-2026 in CRM-M-54157-2025

i) Present application has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS for placing on record the copy of compromise deed dated 11.02.2026 as Annexure P-3.

ii) For the reasons enumerated in the application, same is allowed and the compromise deed dated 11.02.2026 is taken on record as Annexure P-3. Registry is directed to tag the same at appropriate place with the paper-book.

CRM-7164-2026 in CRM-M-53211-2025

CRM-M-54157-2025(O&M) and other connected cases

- 2 -

- i) Present application has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, for placing on record compromise deed dated 11.02.2026 as Annexure(A-1).
- ii) For the reasons enumerated in the application, same is allowed and the compromise deed dated 11.02.2026 is taken on record as Annexure A-1. Registry is directed to tag the same at appropriate place with the paper-book.

Main case(s)

1. By way of this common order, all the aforementioned petitions are being disposed of .
2. Petition i.e. CRM-M-54157-2025 has been filed by petitioner-Vimal, seeking regular bail in case FIR No. 39 dated 06.05.2024, under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Cyber Crime, Chandigarh (wrongly mentioned in the impugned order as Sector 17)
 - 2.1 Petition i.e. CRM-M-53211-2025 has been filed by petitioner-Raj Kumar @ Raj Kumar Balai, seeking regular bail in case FIR No. 39 dated 06.05.2024, under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Cyber Crime, Chandigarh.
 - 2.2 Petition i.e. CRM-M-2148-2026 has been filed by petitioner-Nand Lal @ Nandu, seeking regular bail in case FIR No. 39 dated 06.05.2024, under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Cyber Crime, District Chandigarh.
3. On 16.02.2026, following order was passed by this Court:
 - “1. On oral request, complainant namely Vijayander Parsad, son of Satya Parsad, resident of House No.3048/1, Housing Board Colony, Dhanas (Chandigarh), is ordered to be impleaded as respondent No.2 in all the four petitions.
 2. *Let amended memo of parties be filed by counsel for the respective petitioner(s), in the Registry, within a period of two days from today, without moving any separate application.*
 3. *Mr. Deepak Jaglan, Advocate, puts in appearance on behalf of respondent No.2 (complainant) and files his*

vakalatnama in Court today, which is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions. Office to tag the same at appropriate place in case file.

4. *Petitioner-Shankar Lal (in CRM-M-57217-2025) has been named by co-accused Vimal @ Vimal Kumar (in CRM-M-54157-2025) with the allegation that he is the mastermind who directed the other accused to open different bank accounts.*

Allegation against petitioner-Vimal @ Vimal Kumar (in CRM-M-54157-2025) is that an amount of Rs.1,82,541/-, belonging to the complainant, was fraudulently transferred and credited in his bank account.

With respect to petitioner-Raj Kumar alias Raj Kumar Balai (in CRM-M-53211-2025), allegation against him is that his mobile number was found linked to the bank account of accused Vimal @ Vimal Kumar; however, no amount was transferred in his own bank account.

As regards petitioner-Nand Lal @ Nandu (in CRM-M-2148-2026), during the course of investigation, it was found that an amount of Rs.2,50,000/- was found credited in his bank account.

5. *Broadly, learned counsel for the respective petitioners submit that case of the prosecution is that complainant was allegedly duped for a sum of Rs.4.32 lakhs, which amount was found credited into the bank accounts of accused Vimal @ Vimal Kumar and Nand Lal @ Nandu.*

6. *It is further submitted that an agreement/compromise has been arrived at between the petitioners and respondent No.2 (complainant).*

7. *As per the terms of the settlement, out of total sum of Rs.5,10,000/-, an amount of Rs.2,60,000/- has already been paid to the complainant, and the balance amount shall be paid within a period of one week from today.*

8. *Learned counsel for respondent No. 2, who is present before this Court, affirms that such a compromise has indeed been effected between the parties.*
9. *List again on 27.02.2026.*
10. *To be shown in the urgent list.*
11. *In view of the undertaking given by the petitioners to pay the remaining amount to respondent No.2 before the next date of hearing, petitioners namely Vimal @ Vimal Kumar, Nand Lal @ Nandu, and Raj Kumar @ Raj Kumar Balai are ordered to be released on interim bail, **till the next date of hearing**, subject to their furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Illaq Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.*
12. *However, interim order dated 13.10.2025 passed in the case of petitioner-Shankar Lal (CRM-M-57217-2025) is ordered to be extended, till the next date of hearing.*
13. *It is clarified that the concession of interim bail granted to the aforesaid petitioners is solely on account of their undertaking to repay the balance defrauded amount to the complainant, before the next date of hearing.*
14. *A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of other connected cases.”*
4. Learned counsel for the petitioners contend that the matter has been compromised between the parties and the alleged total duped amount in the present case is Rs. 4.82 lakh and same has been paid back to the complainant, therefore pray for grant of regular bail
5. Mr. Rampal Kaushik, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant, along with the complainant present in Court, submits that the aforesaid amount has been duly received by the complainant and that no further amount remains outstanding.
6. Since the matter has been amicably compromised between the

parties and the disputed amount has already been paid to the complainant, this Court does not find any substantial reason to remand the petitioners to custody. Moreover, the petitioners have not misused the concession of interim bail granted to them by this Court.

Accordingly, prayer made in the present petitions is allowed. The interim bail granted to the petitioners vide order dated 16.02.2026 is hereby made absolute. Petitioners shall be admitted to bail on their furnishing fresh bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

7. The observation made here-in-above shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the Trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of evidence available on record.

8. Petitions stand disposed of.

9. A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of other connected cases.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

February 27,2026
rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*