IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(Sr. No. 117) **CWP No.28008 of 2025**

Date of decision: 29.01.2026

M/s Lauls Ltd.

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE LAPITA BANERJI

Present **:** Mr. Vivek Sheoran, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mago, DAG, Haryana.

*** * ***

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (*Oral*)

(1) Through the instant petition the petitioner seeks release of its acquired land admeasuring 77 Kanals 9 Marlas comprised in Khewat No. 447, Khatoni No. 610, rect. 74, Killa No. 24/2 (2-2), 25 (8-0), rect. 75, Killa No. 21/1(0-17), rect. 81, Killa No. 4/2 (5-12), 5 (8-0), 6/2 (2-6), 7/1 (4-7), 7/2 (2-2), 7/3 (2-6), 13/2 (3-17), 14 (8-0), 15/1 (5-15), 16/2 (3-13), 17 (8-0), 18/1 (6-1), 18/2(1-2), 24/1 (1-1), 25/1 (3-13), 26 (0-15), situated within the revenue estate of Village Firozpur, Tehsil Palwal, District Faridabad (for short – the land in question).

(2) The petitioner seeks the afore relief on the ground that even after the passage of about 20 years it is the petitioner who is in actual

SUNIL YADAV
2026.02.05 18:53
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this order.
Chandigarh

physical possession of the acquired land and that the land in question, till date, has not been utilized by the State for any public purpose.

(3) Seeking outright dismissal of the instant petition, learned State counsel, who appears on advance notice, has filed an affidavit dated 20.01.2026.

(4) After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and examining the record, the admitted facts which emerge are that :-

(i) the petitioner's land, which is the subject matter of the present petition, was sought to be acquired by the State through notification dated 15.06.2026 issued under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (for short – 1894 Act);

(ii) the petitioner did not file any objections under Section 5-A of 1894 Act;

(iii) though notification dated 14.06.2007, issued under Section 6 of 1894 Act, the State acquired the land in question;

(iv) through award No.10 dated 09.06.2009, the concerned Land Acquisition Collector determined the compensation payable to the petitioner in lieu of its acquired land which compensation was accepted by the petitioner;

(v) the petitioner then sought and got enhancement of the determined compensation by initially filing a reference under Section 18 of the 1894 Act, thereafter, a Regular First Appeal before this Court and then before the Supreme Court;

(vi) compensation payable to the petitioner, as finally determined by the Supreme Court, also stands accepted by it;

SUNIL YADAV
2026.02.05 18:53
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this order.
Chandigarh

(vii) the possession of the land in question was taken by the State way back through *Rapat* No.1039 dated 09.06.2009 after which the petitioner's acquired land in question completely vested in the State; and that

(viii) the development layout plan, annexed as Annexure R-1 with the aforesaid affidavit of the State dated 20.01.2026 reveals that the petitioner's acquired land has been utilized towards development of Sector 21, Palwal.

(5) In the light of the above unrebutted factual position, the petitioner cannot be granted the relief prayed for.

(6) Dismissed.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

29.01.2026 **(LAPITA BANERJI)**
sunil yadav **JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No

SUNIL YADAV
2026.02.05 18:53
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this order.
Chandigarh