



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.108

CWP-27922-2025

Date of Decision: 02.02.2026

Surender Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate,
Mr. Paramjit Singh, Advocate, and
Ms. Sheena Dahiya, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, Senior Deputy Advocate General,
Haryana.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (ORAL)

The petition has been filed *inter alia* seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondents to release regular pension, death-cum-retirement gratuity, leave encashment, and commuted pension in accordance with statutory provisions of Haryana Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 2016.

2. It is not disputed that during pendency of the petition all due retiral benefits, as claimed by the petitioner, have been released to him on 13.10.2025. The only issue being agitated is payment of interest on account of delay in releasing the benefits.

3. In the affidavit, dated 15.01.2026, filed on behalf of the respondents, the assertion is that it was for the petitioner to initiate his claim for retiral benefits by completing online registration through Aadhar OTP on the ODMS portal. During online registration, he was required to upload his Aadhar Card, PAN number and family ID also, and forward the case to the competent authority for approval. This was not done from March to



29.09.2025, and that was the reason the benefits could not be released to him earlier.

4. The assertion is disputed by learned counsel for the petitioner by referring to his legal notice, dated 02.06.2025, wherein it is mentioned that the requisite documents were submitted to the Department four months in advance from the date of retirement/28.02.2025. However, he has not been able to refer to any document to substantiate the fact.

5. Apparently, the facts regarding submission of relevant documents for claiming retiral benefits are in dispute which cannot be established before this Court in exercise of extraordinary jurisdiction.

6. In view thereof, the petition is disposed of giving liberty to the petitioner to raise his claim regarding payment of interest on delayed release of pensionary benefits by filing a civil suit, if so advised.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

02.02.2026
Maninder

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No