



CRM-M-51503-2025(O&M) and other connected case

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

121

CRM-M-51503-2025

Sharik

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent(s)

121-2

CRM-M-52262-2025

Lucky @ Romio

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent(s)

121-3

CRM-M-52420-2025

Arif

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent(s)

121-4

CRM-M-55070-2025

Piyush Kumar Singh

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent(s)

Decided on :27.02.2026

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Kamal Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioner(s)
(in CRM-M-51503-2025, CRM-M-52262-2025,
CRM-M-52420-2025).

Mr. Baljeet Beniwal, Advocate for the petitioner
(in CRM-M-55070-2025).

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

-.-

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. By way of this common order all the aforementioned seven petitions are being disposed of as they arise out of one common FIR.

2. Present petitions have been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, seeking regular bail in case FIR No. 278 dated 22.04.2025, under

**CRM-M-51503-2025(O&M) and other connected case****2**

Sections 61(2), 109(1), 115(2), 118(1), 126(2), 190, 191(3) and 351(3) of BNS and Section 25 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station Palla, District Faridabad.

3. As per the allegations in the FIR, injured-Lakshya, aged 17 years, was allegedly given knife injuries by 7–8 boys. Upon receiving this information, complainant-Nikhlesh Sharma (father of the injured), reached the spot along with the police and took the injured first to B.K. Hospital and thereafter to Safdarjung Hospital for further treatment. Consequently, the FIR in question was registered.

4. During the course of trial, injured and other eyewitnesses were examined in the witness box. However, all of them, including the complainant, turned hostile. Thereupon, vide order dated 03.12.2025 in CRM-M-52262-2025, CRM-M-52420-2025, and CRM-M-55070-2025, the following was recorded:

“1. As per allegations, complainant-Nikhlesh Sharma got registered FIR on getting information that 7-8 unknown boys have given beatings to his son Lakshya, aged 17 years near Diksha school. It is also explained in the FIR that after receipt of information, his son was found lying in injured condition, who later informed in injured condition that 7-8 boys whose names and addresses he does not know surrounded him and started beatings with kicks and fists. It was due to the knife blow that son of the complainant fell down, started shouting and later on was taken to the hospital.

**CRM-M-51503-2025(O&M) and other connected case****3**

2. *Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that neither any test identification parade was conducted nor any CCTV footage was recovered during investigation. During investigation, eye witness account was recorded from the mouth of one Brijesh, aged 17 years and Vicky, aged 18 years.*

3. *On the previous date of hearing i.e. 26.09.2025, it was informed by learned State counsel that two of eye witnesses (named hereinabove), including injured Lakshya, were yet to be examined, thereon by recording, hearing of the case was adjourned to 03.12.2025 i.e. today.*

4. *Order dated 26.09.2025 reads as under:*

*Present: Mr. Kamal Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioner(s). Mr. Amish Sharma, AAG, Haryana. ***** i) Present petitions have been filed by the petitioners seeking regular bail in case FIR No.278 dated 22.04.2025, under Sections 61(2), 109(1), 115(2), 118(1), 126(2), 190, 191(3) and 351(3) of BNS and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Palla, District Faridabad. ii) As per the allegations in the FIR, injured, Lakshya, aged 17 years, was given knife injuries by 7–8 boys. Upon receiving this information, complainant, Nikhlesh Sharma (father of the injured), reached the spot along with the police and took the injured to B.K. Hospital, and thereafter to Safdarjung Hospital for*

**CRM-M-51503-2025(O&M) and other connected case****4**

further treatment. Consequently, the present FIR was registered. iii) Learned State counsel informs that there are two eyewitnesses, including injured Lakshya, who are yet to be examined. iii) List on 03.12.2025. iv) In the meantime, prosecution and the learned Trial Court are directed to take necessary steps for recording the statements of the material witnesses. v) Status report on behalf of the respondent/State be filed before the next date of hearing. vi) A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of another connected case.”

5. *Today, it is informed that all the four material witnesses namely Nikhlesh Sharma (complainant and father of injured Lakshya) as PW-1, injured Lakshya Sharma as PW-2, eye witness Brijesh as PW-3 and Vicky as PW-4 have already been examined. However, all four of them turned hostile and, thus, have not supported the case of prosecution. Injured and other two eye witnesses have not even identified the accused persons, who were present before the Court including the petitioner(s) herein. A photocopy of the statements of all the witnesses are produced and the same are taken on record.*

6. *At this stage, learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Bhagat Singh submits that recently one more accused namely Varun (Juvenile/CCL) has been arrested on 28.10.2025 and on interrogation one mobile phone was recovered from him. From the said mobile, there is a video*

**CRM-M-51503-2025(O&M) and other connected case****5**

recording of the incident and as per said video recording, presence of the petitioner(s) was established, however, he is unable to give description of role played by the petitioner(s) in the incident.

7. Let an affidavit be filed after examining the video of the incident by detailing therein the role played by the petitioners and arm/weapon, if any, was there in their hands.

8. List again on 10.12.2025.

9. To be heard alongwith CRM-M-51503-2025 (bail petition of the coaccused). 10. A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of other connected cases.”

5. A separate status report(s), by way of affidavit of Sanjeev Kumar, HPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police, Sarai, Faridabad, along with pendrives containing the video recordings, has been filed today. Same are taken on record and Registry is directed to tag them appropriately with the paper-book(s).

6. Petitioner-Lucky @ Romio (CRM-M-52262-2025) got a knife recovered on 27.04.2025 pursuant to his supplementary disclosure statement. Moreover, mobile recording shows him holding the hair of the victim Lakshya and pulling him towards himself. No specific role has been attributed to the other three accused, namely Sharik (CRM-M-51503-2025), Arif (CRM-M-52420-2025), and Piyush Kumar Singh (CRM-M-55070-2025).

**CRM-M-51503-2025(O&M) and other connected case****6**

7. In view of the above, this Court does not find any reason to entertain the plea for bail of **Lucky @ Romio (CRM-M-52262-2025)**, and same is hereby dismissed at this stage.

8. As regards petitioners **Sharik (CRM-M-51503-2025)**, **Arif (CRM-M-52420-2025)**, and **Piyush Kumar Singh (CRM-M-55070-2025)**, present petitions are allowed. They are ordered to be released on bail, subject to their furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Illaq Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned, provided they are not required in any other case.

9. Any of the discussion done and recorded hereabove, shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case. Therefore, trial Court is expected to decide the case by taking an independent view, on the basis of evidence available on record, as expeditiously as possible in accordance with law.

10. Petitions stand disposed of.

11. A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of other connected cases.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

27.02.2026***Rashmi****Whether speaking/reasoned:* Yes/No*Whether Reportable:* Yes/No