



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

205

CRM-M-52219-2025

RANBIR SINGH

...PETITIONER

V/s

STATE OF HARYANA

...RESPONDENT

Date of decision: 13.01.2026

Date of uploading:13.01.2026

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Sajal Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Priyanka Sadar, Senior DAG, Haryana.

SUMEET GOEL, J.

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.10 dated 28.11.2017 registered under Sections 13(1)E and 13(2) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 at Police Station State Vigilance Bureau (now Anti-Corruption Bureau), Rohtak, District Rohtak, Haryana.

2. On 13.10.2025, the following order was passed:-

“The petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 in case bearing FIR No.10 dated 28.11.2017 registered under Sections 13(1)E and 13(2) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 at Police Station State Vigilance Bureau (now Anti-Corruption Bureau), Rohtak, District Rohtak, Haryana. A reply dated 12.10.2025 by way of an affidavit of Vipin Kadian, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, State Vigilance & Anti Corruption Bureau, Sonipat has been filed on behalf of the State by the learned counsel for the State. The same is taken on record.



The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that initially pursuant to the registration of the FIR, a cancellation report was submitted in the year 2018. Thereafter, on the protest of the complainant the same was not accepted and the case was sent for further investigation on 06.06.2022. Now the petitioner is being sought to be summoned as an accused by the Investigation Agency though he has already joining investigation since the year 2013 when the inquiry initially started.

Adjourned to 12.12.2025.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to appear before the SHO/Investigating Officer to join investigation and in the event of his arrest, he shall be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of SHO/Investigating Agency subject to the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS:-

- i) that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation before the Investigating Officer as and when required;*
- ii) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;*
- iii) that the petitioner shall not leave the country, without prior permission of the Court and shall surrender his/her/their passport, if any.*

Meanwhile, the State counsel is directed to file an affidavit as to the exact role of the petitioner along with the details of pending FIRs, if any, on or before the next date of hearing.”

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions, has stated that pursuant to the order dated 13.10.2025, the petitioner has joined investigation and is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

4. Keeping in view the factual milieu of the case in hand; especially the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated therein and is no longer required by the State for further custodial interrogation, this Court is inclined to confirm the order dated 13.10.2025 granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

5. Ordered accordingly.



6.

This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.
7.

Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 or upon showing any other sufficient cause.
8.

Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.
9.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

13.01.2026
jatin

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No