



CRR(F)-1351-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

118

CRR(F)-1351-2025

Decided on:- 21.01.2026

Jasbir Singh Walia

....Petitioner

Versus**Rupali Wali and another**

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Tapish Gupta, Advocate for
Mr. Sandeep Chopra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

* * * * *

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.(Oral)

1. Petitioner Jasbir Singh Walia filed revision against impugned order dated 21.08.2025 passed by learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Patiala, whereby learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Patiala has disposed of two separate applications i.e. one application seeking correction of date of marriage of parties by way of amendment in para no.2 of petition and second application to stay/adjourn the proceedings during the pendency of transfer application pending before this High Court.

2. Learned counsel representing petitioner pointed out that respondents have filed petition under Section 125 Cr.P.C. dated 30.10.2020 (Annexure P-1) on the basis of false, fabricated, vague and concocted version. Along with main petition, she has also filed application seeking interim maintenance (Annexure P-2). To contest the aforesaid petition, present petitioner (respondent in main case) filed application (Annexure P-3) for the dismissal of petition and said application was dismissed vide order dated 06.11.2024 (Annexure P-4). During the pendency of main case,



CRR(F)-1351-2025

-2-

respondents (petitioners in main case) filed application dated 09.08.2022 (Annexure P-5), to which present petitioner filed reply to application dated 09.08.2022, denying all the documents referred in application (Annexure P-7). Thereafter, she filed another application seeking correction of date of marriage from 15.02.2007 to 15.02.2009 in para no. 2 of petition, by alleging it as inadvertent mistake. It is pointed out that respondent No. 1 (petitioner No.1 in main case) cannot be permitted to correct the date of marriage as 15.02.2009. She has taken contradictory stand at different places. Learned trial Court wrongly allowed the said application. Hence, the present petition.

3. I have considered the arguments advanced by learned counsel representing petitioner and have gone through the record carefully. I have also gone through the impugned order dated 21.08.2025 vide which date of marriage has been corrected from 15.02.2007 to 15.02.2009. From the perusal of record annexed with present petition it appears that till date present petitioner has not filed reply to main petition under Section 125 Cr.P.C. nor filed any reply to application seeking interim maintenance. Petition under Section 125 Cr.P.C. has been filed by Rupali Walia and minor daughter Harnoor Walia. Present petitioner is denying his marriage with Rupali Walia as well as Harnoor Walia as his daughter. So far as correction of date of marriage is concerned, possibility of clerical error in mentioning date of marriage cannot be ruled out. The onus is on respondent No. 1 (petitioner No.1 in main case) to prove her marriage with present petitioner (respondent in main case). Stand taken by respondents (petitioners in main case) claiming maintenance from present petitioner (respondent in main case) is matter of trial and it is for present petitioner to

CRR(F)-1351-2025

-3-

establish the defence raised by him in this case. Trial Court will appreciate the evidence led by both the parties to decide the matter in controversy. At this stage, I do not find any reason to interfere in impugned order dated 21.08.2025 passed by learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Patiala and same is, accordingly, upheld and present criminal revision preferred by petitioner – Jasbir Singh Walia is, accordingly, dismissed.

4. Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of accordingly.

21.01.2026

lalit

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No