

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

2026:PHHC:045034



(105)

CRM-M-52146-2025

Date of Decision: 23.03.2026

Dheeraj Kumar

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & Ors.

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present:- Mr. Harsh Goyal, Advocate for
the petitioner.

Ms. Chhavi Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Svamin Sharma, Advocate for
respondent no.2 (through V.C.).

Mr. Abhishek. S. Bhaskar, Advocate with
Ms. Rashi Vashisth, Advocate for respondents
no.3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 14, 15, 18, 19, 21, 23, 24, 27, 28,
32, 33, 35, 39, 41, 44, 48, 50, 53, 57, 58, 61, 64, 65,
67, 68, 70, 76, 78, 79, 81, 82, 84, 86, 87, 88, 90, 92,
95, 96, 110, 113, 116, 117, 119, 121, 123, 124, 129,
132, 133, 135, 138, 140, 141, 142, 146 & 149.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.J (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 170 dated 08.06.2023 under Sections 120-B, 406, 420, 467, 468 and 471 IPC registered at Police Station City Ratia, District Fatehabad.

2. Mr. Abhishek. S. Bhaskar, Advocate assisted by Ms. Rashi Vashisth, Advocate has put in appearance and filed Power of Attorney on behalf of respondents no.3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 14, 15, 18, 19, 21, 23, 24, 27, 28, 32, 33, 35, 39, 41, 44, 48, 50, 53, 57, 58, 61, 64, 65, 67, 68, 70, 76, 78, 79, 81,

82, 84, 86, 87, 88, 90, 92, 95, 96, 110, 113, 116, 117, 119, 121, 123, 124,

129, 132, 133, 135, 138, 140, 141, 142, 146 & 149. Same are taken on record.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that pursuant to the order dated 27.10.2025 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is no longer required for investigation of the case.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Raghbir Singh, corroborates the said averment and submits that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. Since the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 27.10.2025 is made absolute.

7. However, if required, the petitioner shall continue to join investigation as and when required to do so and shall abide by the terms and conditions, as laid down under Section 482 (2) BNSS.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

23.03.2026

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No