



205

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-52177-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision : 29.01.2026
Date of uploading: 29.01.2026

DEWASHISH VISHWAKARMA

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present : Mr. Jasdeep Singh Gill, Sr. Advocate
with Mr. Jaspal S. Pannu, Advocate
and Mr. Roshan Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, A.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. A.K. Tripathi, Advocate
for the complainant.

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNNS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.44 dated 06.03.2025, registered for offences punishable under Sections 406, 420 and 120-B of the IPC, at Police Station City Dadri, District Charkhi Dadri, Haryana.

2. On 09.10.2025, the following order was passed :-

“Inter alia, contends that the prime role attributed to the petitioner is introducing the petitioner to one Manas Bora, the telephonic conversation between the petitioner and the FIR complainant (transcript whereof has been appended as Annexure P-11 with the present petition) shows that the petitioner did not have any complicity in the offences in question, no effective recovery is too be made from the petitioner & the petitioner is willing to join investigation and cooperate therein.

Adjourned to 13.11.2025.

The petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 15.10.2025 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating Officer, the petitioner shall join the investigation. He shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.”

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Rajesh Kumar, has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation but his custodial interrogation is required to ascertain the details/whereabouts of co-accused.
4. Learned counsel for the complainant, while raising submissions in tandem with the written reply dated 27.01.2026 filed on behalf of the complainant, iterated that there are serious allegations against the petitioner. He has further submitted that in case the petitioner is accorded the concession of anticipatory bail, there is every likelihood that he may flee from the process of justice as also interfere with investigation/witnesses.
5. Having heard learned counsel for the rival parties and upon perusal of the record; especially keeping in view the factum of the petitioner

CRM-M-52177-2025 (O&M)

having joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is being sought primarily on account of getting details/whereabouts of co-accused; the interim order dated 09.10.2025 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

6. Petition stands allowed, accordingly.

7. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

8. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

9. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

10. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

January 29, 2026
gurpreet

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No