

2026:PHHC:031586



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-60753-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision : 25.02.2026

Ravneet Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE

Present: Ms. Ravneet Kaur, petitioner-in person.

Mr. Neeraj Gupta, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Rajiv Vij, Addl. Public Prosecutor,
for U.T., Chandigarh.

SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral)

1. This petition, u/s 482 Cr.P.C., has been filed assailing legality and propriety of the order dated 08.12.2022 (Annexure P-1) passed by the Deputy Commissioner of Police-cum-Executive Magistrate, East, Gurugram, vide which, bailable warrants were issued in a case initiated u/s 107 read with 150 Cr.P.C, at Police Station, Sadar, Gurugram.

2. The proceedings u/s 107 Cr.P.C. seeking security for keeping peace and good behaviour, cannot last for more than one year. For ready reference and convenience, the relevant provision is reproduced below:-

107. Security for keeping the peace in other cases.—

(1) When an Executive Magistrate receives information

that any person is likely to commit a breach of the peace or disturb the public tranquility or to do any wrongful act that may probably occasion a breach of the peace or disturb the public tranquility and is of opinion that there is sufficient ground for proceeding, he may, in the manner hereinafter provided, require such person to show cause why he should not be ordered to execute a bond, with or without sureties, for keeping the peace for such period, not exceeding one year, as the Magistrate thinks fit.

(2) Proceedings under this section may be taken before any Executive Magistrate when either the place where the breach of the peace or disturbance is apprehended is within his local jurisdiction or there is within such jurisdiction a person who is likely to commit a breach of the peace or disturb the public tranquility or to do any wrongful act as aforesaid beyond such jurisdiction.”

3. Consequently, the proceedings initiated vide impugned order dated 08.12.2022 (Annexure P-1) have lapsed by efflux of time and therefore, the present petition, having become infructuous, is dismissed as such.

4. Pending application(s), if any, including CRM-14114-2023, also stand disposed of.

(SHEEL NAGU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

February 25, 2026
Ajay Prasher

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No