



CRM-M-51603-2025 (O&M) 1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

104

CRM-M-51603-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision:30.03.2026

Gurpreet Singh Gill

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARYPresent: Mr. Adarshdeep Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the BNS, 2023 for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.81 dated 20.04.2025, under Sections 110, 333, 115(2), 324(4), 351(2), 191(3) and 190 of BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station Sadar Faridkot, District Faridkot.

2. On 18.03.2026, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel submits that as per the allegations, te petitioner is stated to be only holding an iron rod, however, no injury has been attributed to him. Co-accused similarly circumstanced, Baljeet Singh @ Bittu and Dalbir, who were allegedly having iron rod and axe, respectively, however, no injury was stated to have been inflicted by them, having since



CRM-M-51603-2025 (O&M) 2

been granted anticipatory bail by this Court, vide orders dated 20.06.2025 passed in CRM-M032917-2025 and 09.07.2025 passed in CRM-M-29050-2025. The petitioner is not involved in any other case; ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Adjourned to 30.03.2026.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 23.03.2026. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) BNSS.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforementioned order, the petitioner has joined investigation. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 18.03.2026 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. (now 482(2) of BNSS, 2023)



CRM-M-51603-2025 (O&M) 3

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

March 30, 2026

dinesh	Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
	Whether reportable	:	Yes/No