

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2026:PHHC:007219



(101)

CRM-M-51879-2025
Decided on : 20.01.2026
Uploaded on : 20.01.2026

Jagveer Singh and others

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. R.S. Bains, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Amarjeet Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner (s).

Mr. Adhiraj Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Amitabh Tewari, Advocate for the complainant
(Through V.C.).

Sumeet Goel (Oral):

1. Apprehending their arrest in FIR No.104 dated 01.07.2025, registered for offences punishable under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 118(1), 351(2), 351(3), 191(3) & 190 of the BNS, 2023 (Section 333 and 118(2) of BNS added later on vide G.D. No.14 dated 01.07.2025), at Police Station Samana, Patiala, District Patiala; the petitioners have preferred this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre-arrest bail.

2. On 15.09.2025, the following order was passed:

“Apprehending their arrest in FIR No.104 dated 01.07.2025, registered for offences punishable under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 118(1), 351(2), 351(3), 191(3) & 190 of the BNS,

2023 (Section 333 and 118(2) of BNS added later on vide G.D. No.14 dated 01.07.2025), at Police Station Samana, Patiala, District Patiala; the petitioners have preferred this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre-arrest bail.

2. Learned Senior counsel for the petitioners, after arguing for sometime, seeks permission to withdraw the petition in hand qua petitioner No.3, namely, Simranjit Singh.

3. Ordered accordingly.

4. As regards remaining petitioners, i.e., petitioner No.1, namely, Jagveer Singh, and petitioner No.2, namely, Hardeep Singh, it has been argued by learned Senior counsel for the petitioners that role attributed to these petitioners is that of simple injury; the petitioners have been falsely implicated into the FIR in question on account of impending rivalry; the case in hand is one of version and cross-version, & the petitioners are willing to join investigation and cooperate therein.

5. Notice of motion.

6. On the strength of advance service of copy of petition, Mr. Amit Kumar Goyal, Addl. AG, Punjab appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondent – State of Punjab.

7. Ms. Sehaj Preet Kaur, Advocate has entered appearance on behalf of Mr. Amitabh Tewari, Advocate for the complainant.

8. Put up on 14.10.2025. To be heard alongwith CRM-M-50843-2025.

9. Petitioner No.1, namely, Jagveer Singh, and petitioner No.2, namely, Hardeep Singh are directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 19.09.2025 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In the event of arrest, they shall be released on interim bail subject to their furnishing personal/ surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/ Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating Officer, they shall join the investigation. They shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.”

3. Learned State counsel (on instructions from ASI Nirmal Singh) has brought to the notice of this Court that the petitioners have

joined investigation and are not required for custodial interrogation. He has, however, submitted that the injury attributed to petitioner No.1 Jagveer Singh has been found in grievous in nature but only Section 117 of the BNS 2023 (erstwhile Section 325 of IPC) has been invoked against the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the complainant has vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners by arguing that allegations raised against the petitioners are serious in nature and in case the petitioners are extended the concession of anticipatory bail, there is all the likelihood that they may interfere with the investigation as also intimidate the witnesses and also the FIR-complainant.

5. Keeping in view the factual milieu of the case in hand, especially the factum of the petitioners having joined investigation and cooperated therein and their custodial interrogation is not required, this Court is inclined to confirm the order dated 15.09.2025 granting interim anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

6. Ordered accordingly.

7. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioners indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

8. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioners violate any condition

stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

9. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

10. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

January 20, 2026
Naveen

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No