



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(130)

CR-6518-2025

Date of decision: - 23.03.2026

Rajinder Singh @ Rajinder

....Petitioner

Versus

Hukam Singh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Sukhdeep Singh, Advocate,
for Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Divyam Singh, Advocate
for Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present civil revision petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the order dated 06.09.2025 (Annexure P-6) to the extent that the right of the petitioner to lead evidence on the additional issues has been forfeited.

2. Learned counsel for respondent No.1 has referred to the order dated 06.09.2025 to show that after framing of the additional issues, the case was put up for 22.09.2025 for plaintiff evidence at own responsibility. It is submitted that thereafter on 22.09.2025, no PW was present and again the case was adjourned to 08.10.2025 for plaintiff evidence and on 08.10.2025, a copy of the interim order passed by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court was shown but again the case was adjourned to 15.11.2025 for additional evidence of the plaintiff. It is further submitted that on 15.11.2025 as well as on 05.01.2026 also no



additional evidence was presented by the plaintiff when the case was adjourned for the said purpose and now the case is fixed on 09.04.2026 for additional evidence of the plaintiff. It is stated that in the said circumstances, the present petition filed by the petitioner is completely misconceived as already four chances have been given to the petitioner/plaintiff for leading additional evidence after the framing of additional issues and even now the case is fixed on 09.04.2026 for the said purpose.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in view of the same, the present petition be disposed of with the clarification that the petitioner can lead his additional evidence with respect to the said two issues i.e. 6-A and 6-B on 09.04.2026.

4. Learned counsel for respondent No.1 has submitted that in the said circumstances, only one effective be granted to the petitioner to lead his evidence on the said additional issues.

5. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances and the fair stand taken on behalf of the petitioner as well as respondent No.1, the present revision petition is disposed of while upholding the order dated 06.09.2025 with the clarification that the petitioner would be given one effective opportunity to lead his evidence on the additional issues i.e. 6-A and 6-B, for which purpose, the case has already been fixed for 09.04.2026.

March 23, 2026
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No