



119

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

FAO-150-2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 20.01.2026

MANJU AND ORS

.... Appellants

VERSUS

RAJNESH AND ANR

.... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ALKA SARIN

Present : Mr. Deepika, Advocate for
Mr. Sandeep Kumar Yadav, Advocate for the appellants.

Mr. Abhimanyu Batra, Advocate for respondent No.2.

ALKA SARIN, J. (ORAL)

1. As per the report of the Mediator, mediation was a non-starter.
2. The present appeal has been preferred by the claimant-appellants aggrieved by the quantum of compensation awarded by the Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, Narnaul (hereinafter referred to as the 'Tribunal') vide award dated 06.10.2018.
3. Since the factum of the accident is not in dispute, the facts, as recorded in the impugned award passed by the Tribunal, are not being adverted to herein for the sake of brevity.
4. The Tribunal in the present case had awarded the following compensation :

Sr. No.	Heads	Compensation Awarded
1.	Monthly income	₹10,800
2.	Annual income	[₹10,800 x 12] = ₹1,29,600
3.	Deduction 1/4 th	[₹1,29,600 - ₹32,400] = ₹97,200
4.	Multiplier of 15	[₹97,200 x 15] = ₹14,58,000
5.	Funeral expenses	₹15,000
6.	Loss of estate	₹15,000
7.	Loss of consortium	₹40,000
	Total Compensation	₹15,28,000
	Interest	@ 7% per annum in case the payment was made within 90 days. @ 9% per annum in case the payment was not made within 90 days

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the claimant-appellants would contend that though the claimant-appellants do not challenge the income as assessed, the deduction made and the multiplier as applied by the Tribunal, however, no addition has been made towards future prospects. It is further the contention that the amounts awarded under the conventional heads and under the head loss of consortium are on the lower side. In support of his contentions the learned counsel has relied upon the judgments of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the cases of **National Insurance Company Ltd. vs. Pranay Sethi & Ors. [(2017) 16 SCC 680]**, **Magma General Insurance Company Limited vs. Nanu Ram alias Chuhru Ram & Ors. [(2018) 18 SCC 130]** and **N. Jayasree & Ors. vs. Chola mandalam M.S General Insurance Company Ltd. [2021(4) RCR (Civil) 642]**.

6. *Per contra*, the learned counsel for respondent No.2-Insurance Company has vehemently argued that sufficient amount has already been awarded as compensation in the present case and that there is no scope of any enhancement.

7. Heard.
8. In the present case since there is no challenge to the income as assessed, the deduction made and the multiplier as applied by the Tribunal, the same are maintained. No addition has been made towards future prospects. As per the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Pranay Sethi** (supra), 40% addition is made towards future prospects. Further, the amounts awarded under the conventional heads and under the head loss of consortium are on the lower side. As per the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the cases of **Pranay Sethi** (supra), **Magma General Insurance Company Limited** (supra) and **N. Jayasree** (supra), the claimant-appellants would be entitled to ₹18,000 (₹15,000 + 20% increase) towards loss of estate and ₹18,000 (₹15,000 + 20% increase) towards funeral expenses. The claimant-appellants, being the widow, the children and the parents of the deceased, would also be entitled to ₹48,000 each (₹40,000 + 20% increase) towards loss of consortium.
9. Accordingly, the reworked compensation is as under :

Sr. No.	Heads	Compensation Awarded
1.	Monthly income	₹10,800
2.	Annual income	[₹10,800 x 12] = ₹1,29,600
3.	Deduction 1/4 th	[₹1,29,600 - ₹32,400] = ₹97,200
4.	Future prospects @ 40%	[₹97,200 + ₹38,880] = ₹1,36,080
5.	Multiplier of 15	[₹1,36,080 x 15] = ₹20,41,200
6.	Funeral expenses	₹18,000
7.	Loss of estate	₹18,000
8.	Loss of consortium (i) Spousal (ii) Parental (iii) Filial	₹48,000 [₹48,000 x 2] = ₹96,000 [₹48,000 x 2] = ₹96,000 Total = ₹2,40,000
	Total Compensation	₹23,17,200

10. The amount in excess of and over and above the amount awarded by the Tribunal shall also attract interest @ 7.5% per annum from the date of filing of the claim petition till the realization of the entire amount. The amount shall be apportioned between the claimant-appellants as directed by the Tribunal.

11. In view of the decision by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Parminder Singh vs. Honey Goyal & Ors. [AIR 2025 (SC) 1713]**, after calculation of the enhanced amount, the same be transferred by respondent No.2-Insurance Company in the bank accounts of the claimant-appellants within a period of six weeks from today. The share of the minor claimant-appellants shall be kept in an FDR with a nationalized bank fetching maximum rate of interest. The particulars of the bank accounts along with the requisite documents in support thereof shall be furnished by the claimant-appellants to respondent No.2-Insurance company within a period of two weeks from today and needful shall be done by respondent No.2-Insurance Company after verification thereof within a period of four weeks thereafter along with up-to-date interest. The compliance shall be reported by the Bank to the Tribunal concerned.

12. In view of the above discussion, the present appeal is allowed and the award passed by the Tribunal is modified accordingly. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed off.

20.01.2026
Aman Jain

(ALKA SARIN)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking/non-speaking: Speaking
Whether reportable: Yes/No