



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

COCP-4692-2025(O&M)
Date of decision: 19.03.2026

Ranjit Kaur and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Kumar Rahul, IAS and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. R.S. Sohi, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Shiny Chopra, AAG, Punjab.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J. (ORAL)

The instant contempt petition alleges willful disobedience of the directions issued vide order dated 14.02.2025 (Annexure P-1), passed in CWP-4044-2025:-

“2. In view of the above and without commenting upon the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 04.10.2024, taking note of the aforesaid judgment, within a period of 6 months, which this Court has no reason to believe the authorities would not address in a just, fair and reasonable manner. Upon doing so, after notice and hearing offered to them and if found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to their interest, the same shall contain reasons and the petitioners shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.”

2. Compliance report by way of affidavit of Dr. Aditi Salaria, Director Health Services (Family Welfare), Punjab, filed in Court today, is taken on record. A copy thereof has been furnished to learned counsel for the petitioners.



3. As per the said compliance report, the directions stand complied with. Paragraph 4 of the report states as under:-

“4) That, the answering respondent in compliance to the said orders, have considered the legal notice dated 04-10-2024. After due consideration in the light of existing instructions in the matter the speaking order no.666 dated 29-01-2026 has been passed. The copy of the speaking order is humbly submitted as Annexure R-1 for kind perusal of this Hon’ble court.”

4. That being so, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners do not wish to press the instant contempt petition, at this stage, and shall, if so advised, avail all such remedies as shall be admissible in law against the said speaking order.

5. Accordingly, the instant contempt petition is disposed of in terms of the statement given by learned counsel for the petitioners.

6. Rule stands discharged.

7. Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

**(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE**

March 19, 2026

Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No