



CR-8465-2018 (O&M)

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

203

CR-8465-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: March 24th, 2026

Shree Bhimeshwari Devi Mandir (Ashram)

...Petitioner

Versus

Satish Kumar Kaushik and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana
and Mr. Raj Partap Singh Brar, Assistant Advocate General,
Haryana.

Mr. R.D. Bawa and Mr. Rishabh Rana, Advocates
for respondent No.6.

Mr. Kewal Krishan, Advocate
for respondent No.7.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. On 12.02.2026, this Court was pleased to pass the following
order:

*“Present:- Mr. Nitin Sachdeva, Advocate
for Mr. Vishal Garg Narwana, Advocate
for the petitioner.*

*Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, Addl. A.G., Haryana,
and Mr. Raj Partap Singh Brar, AAG,
Haryana.*

*Mr. Samuel Gill, Advocate
for Mr. R.D. Bawa, Advocate*



CR-8465-2018 (O&M)

2

for respondent No.16.

*Mr. Kewal Krishan, Advocate
for Mr. S.K. Mahajan, Advocate
for respondent No.7.*

Learned State counsel has submitted that the Government of Haryana has framed The Haryana Shree Mata Bhimeshwari Devi Mandir (Ashram), Beri Shrine Act, 2022 and the same has been duly notified vide notification dated 03.12.2025 and has thus submitted that the present revision petition has been rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for a short adjournment to get instructions in the matter.

Adjourned to 23.02.2026.

To be taken up immediatley after the urgent list.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected case.

February 12, 2026”

2. None has appeared on behalf of the petitioner to rebut the said aspect.
3. Accordingly, the petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to revive the same in case any cause survives.

March 24th, 2026
Puneet

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether reportable: No