



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

131+243

CRM-15515-2026 in/and
CROCP-9-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 09.04.2026

COURT ON ITS OWN MOTION

.....Petitioner

Versus

GURCHARANJIT KAUR

.....Respondent

132+249

CRM-14977-2026 in/and
CROCP-1-2026

COURT ON ITS OWN MOTION

.....Petitioner

Versus

GURCHARANJIT KAUR

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Ms. Gurcharanjit Kaur, respondent-contemnor in person.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

CRM-15515-2026 in CROCP-9-2025 and
CRM-14977-2026 in CROCP-1-2026

These are applications for placing on record affidavit(s) of respondent along with annexures.

For the reasons mentioned in the applications, the same are allowed. Affidavit of respondent along with annexures are taken on record.

Main Petitions

1. By this common order, both the aforementioned petitions, the details of which have been given in the heading, are being disposed of as both the petitions involve the same question of law on similar facts. For convenience, the facts are being taken from CROCP-9-2025.

2. The respondent-contemnor appears in person and submits that



the allegation on the basis of which the present proceedings have been initiated, is based upon a letter written by the District and Sessions Judge, SBS Nagar, wherein it has been mentioned that certain facebook posts have been made by the alleged contemnor so as to use derogatory and abusive language against the District and Sessions Judge, SBS Nagar, which amounts to interference with the discharge of the judicial process covered under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

3. The alleged contemnor-Ms. Gurcharanjit Kaur further submits that she has never made any such post and the said posts, if any, have been made on her name by hacking her facebook account. She further submits that she has highest regard for the judiciary and the judicial officers and even in the event of any adverse order passed against her by the competent Court of law, she has only availed the remedy available and has never indulged in making allegation against the judicial officer concerned. Hence, she is being implicated intentionally keeping in view the facts that she is a lone lady, who is fighting for her rights before the competent Court of law in the various proceedings initiated by her detractors.

4. She further submits that her statement that she has highest regard for the judicial officers and the judiciary and it may also be noted that she will not indulge in anything to lower the image either of the judiciary or the judicial officers, and the present proceedings have been initiated keeping in view the conspiracy of hacking her account. Therefore, liberal view be taken and the present proceedings may kindly be closed especially when she undertakes that she has not done anything as mentioned in the allegation and will never do any such thing in the future as well.

5. Keeping in view the totality of the circumstances, no further order is required to be passed in the present proceedings. Hence, both the



petitions are disposed of in the above terms.

- 6. Rule discharged.
- 7. Miscellaneous application pending, if any, is also disposed of.
- 8. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of the connected case.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

09.04.2026
Sandeep

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes
Whether Reportable : No