

207 (3 cases)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

2026.PHHC:042290-DB



CWP-29048-2024 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 17.03.2026

Virender Nandal and others ...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

CWP-30703-2024 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 17.03.2026

Manish Tushir ...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

CWP-30707-2024 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 17.03.2026

Sushma ...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHIT KAPOOR**

Present: Mr. Ankur Sidhar, Advocate,  
Mr. Ajay Vijarania, Advocate and  
Mr. Punit Malik, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Sanjeev Kaushik, Addl. A.G., Haryana.  
Mr. Divyanshu Kaushik, Advocate  
and Ms.Amisha Rana, Advocate  
for the respondent-State.

Mr. Pankaj Rana, Advocate for respondent No.6 in CWP-29048-2024 and for respondent No.5 in CWP-30703-2024 and CWP-30707-2024.

\*\*\*

**ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA, J. (Oral)**

1. Grievance raised in the present writ petitions is the same as that raised in a batch of petitions, which have been disposed of vide separate order of even date passed in CWP-12419-2022, titled as **Rajender Singh and others vs. State of Haryana and others.**

2. In view of the fair statement made by Mr. Kaushik, Addl. AG, Haryana, we have already recognized the right of the writ petitioners therein to continue in the respective department where they were appointed on Group-D post with the further stipulation that their claim for promotion would be dealt with as per the rules applicable in respect of promotional post in the concerned department.

3. The writ petitioners in the present petitions had already applied for admission to the VLDD course for the academic sessions 2024-2025 and 2025-2026. The admission to the petitioners was, however, being denied on the ground that they cannot be treated as employees of the Animal Husbandry Department and would be treated as a member of Group-D cadre, which is State-wide and not restricted to any particular department or organization.

4. In view of the stand taken by the respondents, as per which, the claim for promotion in the respective departments where Group-D employee is working, would be accorded consideration, there would be no hindrance in allowing the petitioners to continue with the VLDD Course, which is one of the conditions for their promotion to the next Group-C post.

5.           The writ petitions, therefore, stand allowed. The concerned university will allow the petitioners to pursue the course subject to fulfillment of other conditions.
6.           All pending misc. application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA)  
JUDGE

(ROHIT KAPOOR)  
JUDGE

17.03.2026  
rajesh

1. Whether speaking/reasoned?           :     Yes/No
2. Whether reportable?                    :     Yes/No