

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

205

CRM-M-50904-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision:15.01.2026

Harbhagwan Singh @ Sattu

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Ms. Rajvinder Kaur, Advocate, for
Mr. Hitesh Verma, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.112 dated 17.08.2025, under Sections 108, 308(2), 61(2)(a) of BNS, 2023 and Sections 66(E) and 67(A) of IT Act, 2000, registered at Police Station Kotbhai, District Sri Muktsar Sahib.

2. On 11.11.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned State counsel refers to the FIR wherein the allegation has been leveled specifically against the petitioner that the video was made through his mobile phone which during investigation, either handed over/recovered.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for and is granted one more opportunity to join investigation as and when required by the investigating agency.

The petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 21.11.2025.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the

Arresting/Investigating Officer, the interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 15.01.2026.

Interim order to continue.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforementioned order, the petitioner has joined investigation. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.
4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.
5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 11.11.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. (now 482(2) of BNSS, 2023)
6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

January 15, 2026
dinesh

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No