



CRM-M-51130-2025

-1-

(111)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-51130-2025

Date of Decision: 13.03.2026

AMIT ALIAS KALI**... Petitioner****Versus****STATE OF HARYANA****...Respondent****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI**

Present: Mr. J.P. Jangu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Geeta Rani, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sanchit Punia, Advocate for the complainant.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.

The prayer in the present petition under Section 483 BNSS, 2023 is for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No.773 dated 13.11.2024 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 103(1), 3(5), 332(b) of BNS and Section 25 of Arms Act (Section 61 of BNS, 2023 added later on), at Police Station HTM Hisar, District Hisar.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that Puneet @ Patlu and Ashu @ Motu are the main accused having inflicted injuries on the deceased. So far as the petitioner is concerned, he is alleged to have been waiting outside on a vehicle so as to help the main accused flee from the spot. As the petitioner is in custody since 15.01.2025 but only 02 of the 19 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far, the trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon and therefore, he is entitled to the concession of bail.

**CRM-M-51130-2025**

-2-

3. On the other hand, the learned counsel for the complainant and the State counsel, while referring to the reply dated 12.10.2025, contend that the petitioner helped the accused in getting away from the place of occurrence. He also provided a knife to them. He is an accused in two other cases. Therefore, he is not entitled to the concession of bail as prayed for. They, however, do not dispute the fact that no injury has been attributed to the petitioner, that he is in custody since 15.01.2025 but only 02 of the 19 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

5. The culpability of the petitioner, with the aid of Section 34/120-B IPC, shall be examined during the course of the trial. Admittedly, he is in custody since 15.01.2025 but only 02 of the 19 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far. Therefore, the Trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon. In this situation the further incarceration of the petitioner is not required.

6. Thus without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and petitioner-Amit @ Kali S/o Bharat Vermani is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned CJM/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

7. The petitioner (or anyone on his behalf) shall prepare an FDR in the sum of Rs.50,000/- and deposit the same with the Trial Court. The same would be liable to be forfeited as per law in case of the absence of the petitioner from trial without sufficient cause.

**CRM-M-51130-2025****-3-**

8. The petitioner shall appear before the police station concerned on the first Monday of every month till the conclusion of the trial and inform in writing each time that he is not involved in any other crime other than the present case.

9. If the petitioner or his family members/associates make any attempt to contact/threaten/intimidate the witnesses in the present case, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of bail granted vide this order.

10. The petition stands disposed of.

13.03.2026**Kusum****(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No