



CRM-M-60409-2022 (O&M) 1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

252

CRM-M-60409-2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision:23.03.2026

Rahul Raj Singh and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Babbar Bhan, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. Amrik Narwal, DAG, HaryanaMr. Naman Jain, Advocate,
for respondents No. 5 to 7.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. Learned State counsel refers to relevant part of para 3 as also paras 4 to 7 of the status report filed by way of an affidavit of Assistant Commissioner of Police, NIT Faridabad, dated 24.01.2023, which read thus:-

“3. It is pertinent to mention here that after conducting enquiry on the application/complaint given by the staff of IHM Faridabad, it found that some members of staff do not want that Dr. Shweta (respondent No.7) to remain as Principal. Hence neither there is truth in the allegations levelled by the staff nor any cognizable offence found to have been committed as alleged in the application/complaint. Hence, the application/complaint filed by the staff, has been consigned to record after due enquiry.



4. That in compliance of order dated 19.01.2023, translated version of some relevant statements of concerned persons joined in enquiry and enquiry report of police dated 03.01.2023 alongwith vernacular thereof are being annexed as Annexure R-1 to R-8.

5. That in view of the facts and circumstances mentioned above, no sufficient evidence has found against respondent No.5 to 7 for registration of FIR. It is pertinent to mention here that the disputes between the petitioners and respondents No.5 to 7 are of civil nature, which mainly relates to service matter, for which a CWP bearing No.7805 of 2022 is already pending adjudication before the Hon'ble High Court for 23.02.2022.

6. That in compliance of order dated 19.01.2023, petitioner No.1 was joined into enquiry on 20.01.2023 but neither there found any truth in the allegations levelled by the petitioners nor any cognizable offence found to have been committed as alleged in the applications/complaints.

7. That during enquiry, it found that the petitioner No.1 is habitual of making RTI applications and complaints to make pressure upon his department with some ulterior motive. Hence in view of the facts and circumstances mentioned above, no sufficient evidence has found against respondent No. 5 to 7 for registration of FIR. It is pertinent to mention here that the disputes between the petitioners and respondent No.5 to 7 are of civil nature, which mainly relates to their department being service dispute. Hence the application/complaint filed by the petitioner, has been consigned to record after due enquiry on dated 03.01.2023.”



CRM-M-60409-2022 (O&M) 3

- 2. In view of the above, no further orders are required to be passed. However, the petitioner is at liberty to avail of alternate remedy, if any, in accordance with law.
- 3. Disposed of accordingly.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

March 23, 2026
dinesh

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No