



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-6320-2024(O&M)
Date of decision: 27.01.2026

Dalip Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Harjit Kaur and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Zorawar S. Chauhan, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. S.S. Swaich, Advocate,
Mr. S.S. Sandhu, Advocate, and
Mr. Deepak K. Bishnoi, Advocate, for respondents No.1 & 2.
Ms. Roja Agnihotri, Advocate, for respondents No.3 & 4.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J. (ORAL)

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he may be permitted to withdraw the instant revision petition with liberty to file the requisite application before the trial Court, since the application filed by him was dismissed primarily on the ground that no such application is maintainable before the Court where proceedings under Order XXXIX Rule 2-A CPC are pending.

2. In response, learned counsel for the respondents submit that they would have no objection if such application is moved before the trial Court, which, of course, the non-applicant/respondents would contest on merits.

3. Accordingly, the instant revision petition is dismissed as having been withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

4. Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

January 27, 2026

Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No