



CRM-M-50585-2025 and  
CRM-M-68829-2025

240 (2 cases)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-50585-2025

Jashandeep Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CRM-M-68829-2025

Kuldeep Singh @ Kuldip

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

Decided on: 29.01.2026

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH**

Present: Mr. Puneet Kumar Bansal, Advocate  
for the petitioner(s) (in CRM-M-50585-2025)

Mr. P.S. Sekhon, Sr. Advocate with  
Mr. L.S. Sekhon, Advocate  
for the petitioner(s) (in CRM-M-68829-2025).

Mr. Jasdeep Singh Gill, Addl. A.G., Punjab

\*\*\*\*

**SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (ORAL)**

1. By way of this common order, both the above-mentioned petitions are being decided together as they arise out of the same FIR and common allegations.
2. Both cases arise out of **FIR No. 86 dated 22.05.2025**, registered at Police Station Khalra District Tarn Taran, under Sections 118(2), 117(2), 115, 3(5)



**CRM-M-50585-2025 and  
CRM-M-68829-2025**

**2**

of BNS.

3. As per complaint got lodged at the instance of complainant-Charanjit Singh incident took place on 07.05.2025 at about 8.35 p.m., when his son-Simarjit Singh was present in his shop, accused-Kuldeep Singh @ Keepa armed with datar, Gurdev Singh empty handed and Jashandeep Singh @ Kalu armed with iron rod, caused injuries to his son.

As per allegations, Gurdev Singh gave lalkara and caught Simarjit Singh, Jashandeep Singh @ Kalu gave consecutive two blow of iron rod hitting on nose and head, and third accused Kuldeep @ Keepa gave datar blow causing grievous injuries on the little finger of the complainant-Charanjit Singh.

4. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner argues that allegations are false because the incident took place on 07.05.2025, whereas the medico legal examination was conducted first time on 12.05.2025, and thereupon FIR was registered on 22.05.2025. Thus, submits that there is huge delay firstly in getting the medical examination and then lodging of the complaint. He further submits that all the offences alleged are triable by the Court of learned Magistrate and culmination of trial would take considerable time. Thus, prays for grant of bail.

5. On the other hand, learned State counsel submits that injuries No. 1 and 2, attributed to the petitioners are grievous in nature and the same are on the vital part of the body. However, he does not deny the fact that offences are triable by the Court of learned Magistrate and the petitioners are inside jail for the last more than a period of seven months.

6. Considering all the aspects into consideration, this Court deems it appropriate to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioners.



CRM-M-50585-2025 and  
CRM-M-68829-2025

7. Accordingly, both the petitions are allowed. Jashandeep Singh (petitioner in CRM-M-50585-2025) and Kuldeep Singh @ Kuldip (petitioner in CRM-M-68829-2025) are ordered to be released on bail, subject to their furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/ Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Illaqa Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.
8. Needless to observe that the petitioner(s) shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.
9. Any of the discussion done and recorded here above, shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case. Therefore, trial Court is expected to decide the case by taking an independent view, on the basis of evidence available on record, as expeditiously as possible, in accordance with law.
10. Both the petitions stand disposed of.
11. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected case.

29.01.2026  
reena

(SANJAY VASHISTH)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No  
Whether Reportable: Yes/No