

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH
207
CRM-M-51425-2025

MAADU KHAN ALIAS MADU
V/s
STATE OF HARYANA
...PETITIONER
...RESPONDENT

Date of decision: 28.01.2026
Date of uploading:28.01.2026

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL
Present: Mr. Naveen Sheokand, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Priyanka Sadar, Senior DAG, Haryana.

SUMEET GOEL, J.

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No. 318 dated 15.07.2025 , registered for the offences punishable under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of IPC at Police Station Pinjore, District Panchkula, Haryana.
2. On 12.09.2025, the following order was passed:-

Anticipatory bail under Section 482 BNSS			
FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
318	15.07.2025	Pinjore, District Panchkula, Haryana	406,420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of IPC

Petitioner’s counsel submits that matter is of civil nature which has been given criminal colour.
Notice served upon the official respondent through the State’s counsel.



The Senior Most Investigator conducting the investigation in the FIR captioned above is to file/hand over the status report/instructions positively before the next date, failing which the concerned Superintendent of Police or an equivalent or higher Police Officer shall file/hand over their Reply/Response/Instructions/Status report by the extended date, and if it is still not filed, the concerned Inspector General of police shall have to file it. The Reply/Response/Instructions/Status report shall also mention the following details under headings:

- A. The amount and/or value of the proceeds of crime involved in this FIR.*
- B. The amount and/or value of the proceeds of crime involved in this FIR attributed to the petitioner.*
- C. The amount/value of the proceeds of crime involved in this FIR, which have been recovered and/or frozen.*
- D. The amount/value of the proceeds of crime involved in this FIR, which have been recovered from the petitioner and/or frozen of the petitioner.*
- E. The evidence based on which the petitioner was arraigned as an accused.*
- F. The Evidence against the Petitioner.*
- G. The role of the petitioner.*

H. In case of dismissal of this petition, would the police arrest the petitioner in this FIR, and do they need the accused's police custody?

I. Petitioner's criminal antecedents, except for the FIRs in which the petitioner was absolved, discharged, or acquitted.

The petitioner's counsel, on instructions, states that, without conceding or admitting the offence, the petitioner be given another chance, and the petitioner undertakes to reform and not to repeat the offence.

The petitioner's counsel further submits that the arrest be stayed, and they would have no objection whatsoever to any stringent conditions that this Court may impose and he is ready to declare his all assets.


Given the above, petitioner is directed to join investigation on 22nd & 23rd September 2025 at 10 AM and after that as and when called by the investigator. To enable the petitioner to join investigation, his arrest shall remain stayed in the FIR captioned above. It is clarified that the order of stay of arrest shall not be construed as an order of interim bail.

List on 07.01.2026."

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions, has stated that pursuant to the order dated 12.09.2025 the petitioner has joined investigation and is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

4. Keeping in view the factual milieu of the case in hand; especially the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated therein and is no longer required by the State for further custodial interrogation, this Court is inclined to confirm the order dated 12.09.2025 granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

5. Ordered accordingly.



6. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.
7. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 or upon showing any other sufficient cause.
8. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.
9. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

28.01.2026
jatin

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No