

2026:PHHC:014458

101 (1st case)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH
CRM-M-50687-2025

Krishan Kumar Aggarwal

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

Date of Decision: February 02, 2026

Date of Uploading: February 02, 2026

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Lupil Gupta, Advocate,
Mr. Sanjeet Sood, Advocate and
Mr. Varun Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG Haryana.

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the
Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of
concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, in case FIR No.21 dated
21.11.2024, under Sections 120-B, 406, 409, 418, 468 and 471 of IPC and
Sections 13(1)(c) and 13(1)(d) read with Section 13(2) of the Prevention of
Corruption Act, 1988, registered at Police Station ACB, Hisar.

2. On 03.11.2025, the following order was passed:

“The present petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case registered against him vide FIR No.21 dated 21.11.2024 under Sections 120-B, 406, 409, 418, 468, 471 of IPC and 13(1) (c) and 13(1) (d) read with Section 13(2) of PC Act at Police Station ACB, Hisar (Annexure P-1).

Reply dated 30.10.2025 by way of an affidavit of Amit Beniwal, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, State Vigilance & Anti Corruption Bureau Hisar Range, Hisar has been filed (in CRM-M-54585-2025) on behalf of respondent-State by the learned State counsel and the same is taken on record.

The learned counsel for the petitioners contends that a sum of Rs.1,14,139/- has been deposited by the contractor.

Adjourned to 21.01.2026.

In the meantime, the petitioners are directed to appear before the SHO/Investigating Officer to join investigation and in the event of his arrest, he shall be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of SHO/Investigating Agency, subject to the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS:-

(i) that the petitioners shall make himself available for interrogation before the investigating officer as and when required;

(ii) that the petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) that the petitioners shall not leave the country without prior permission of the Court and shall surrender his passport, if any.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of the connected case.”

3. Learned State counsel (on instructions from Inspector Kanta) has stated that pursuant to the order dated 03.11.2025, the petitioner has indeed joined investigation but he ought not to be extended concession of anticipatory bail since allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature.

4. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the record, keeping in view the entirety of factual *milieu* of the case in hand; especially the factum of the work in question, for which allegations of Prevention of Corruption Act have been raised, pertain to the year 2017-2018, the complaint was made to the Vigilance Bureau in the year 2019 and FIR in question came to be registered in the year 2024, this Court is inclined to confirm the interim order dated 03.11.2025 passed by this Court. Hence, interim order dated 03.11.2025 is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

5. Petition stands allowed, accordingly.

6. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

7. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 or upon showing any other sufficient cause.
8. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.
9. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

February 02, 2026

mahavir

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No