



373

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CM-11465-C-2025 in/and
RA-RS-57-2025 in/and
RSA-1363-2000 (O&M)
Date of decision: 17.02.2026

State of Punjab and others

...Applicants-appellants

Versus

Bua Masih

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Sandeep Singh, AAG, Punjab,
for the applicants-appellants.

Mr. Sushil Saini, Advocate for the respondent.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

CM-11465-C-2025

1. This is an application filed under Section 5 of the Limitation Act for condonation of delay of 333 days in filing the present review application.

2. For the reasons stated in the application which is duly supported by an affidavit, the present application is allowed and delay of 333 days in filing the present review application is condoned.

RA-RS-57-2025

1. This is a review application filed under Order XLVII Rule 1 read with Section 114 and 151 of CPC for review of order dated 03.09.2024.



2. On 21.01.2026, this Court had passed the following order:-

*“Present: Mr. Sandeep Singh, Assistant Advocate General,
Punjab. for the applicant-appellants.*

*Since none had appeared on behalf of the respondent on
03.09.2024, this Court was pleased to pass the following
order:*

*1. Learned counsel for the appellants has
submitted that in the present case, respondent has
retired and in all probabilities, nothing survives in the
present appeal and has prayed that the present appeal
be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous but
liberty be granted to the appellants to revive the case, if
any cause survives.*

*2. In view of the above, the present appeal is
disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.
However, liberty is granted to the appellants to revive
the case, if any cause survives.*

*3. Registry is directed to send copy of present
order to the parties, on the addresses mentioned in the
memo of parties.*

*4. A photocopy of this order be placed in the file
of the connected case.”*

*On 25.09.2025, notice was issued in the review
application as it was the case of the appellant that the
respondent had filed execution, although no one was
appearing on his behalf before this Court. The said review
application is now listed on 17.02.2026.*

*Learned counsel for the State has submitted that the
respondent has filed the execution and the next date before the
Executing Court is 31.01.2026. It is further pointed out that
when the matter was admitted, the stay order in favour of the
State was ordered to be continued.*



*Notice in both the applications for 17.02.2026.
To be taken up immediately after the urgent list.
The Executing Court is directed to give date beyond the
date fixed before this Court.
January 21st, 2026”*

3. Mr. Sushil Saini, Advocate has appeared on behalf of the respondent and has submitted that he would argue the matter on the date fixed by this Court.
4. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances and averments made in the review application, the same is allowed and order dated 03.09.2024 is recalled and the main appeal is restored to its original number and is taken on Board today itself.

Main case

1. To be listed as per Roster.

17.02.2026
Pawan

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:- **Yes/No**

Whether reportable:- **Yes/No**