



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

139

CRM-M-50039-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 16.03.2026

Gurmeet Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. R.S. Randhawa, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Tarranum Madan, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG Punjab

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.16 dated 13.03.2025, registered under Sections 420, 170, 171, 465, 468, 471 IPC & 8 of PC Act, at Police Station City Kurali, District SAS Nagar (Mohali).

2. On 03.12.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned Senior Counsel *inter alia* contends that the petitioner, as a matter of fact, made complaints against the officials, however, he is now being falsely implicated in the present case by relying on the statement of one Amanpreet Kaur, with regard to an incident of the year 2022, while that of one Sunil Kumar qua agreement to sell of 2023 in respect of TATA Zip vehicle and part amount was paid by him, however, the balance remained. The petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Ms. Gagandeep Kaur, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 10.12.2025. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not

join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 18.12.2025.”

3. Learned Senior counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforementioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency as also one mobile mobile was taken by the investigating officer and another has been handed over during the course of hearing, in pursuance to the last order dated 26.02.2026, the fact regarding the 1st mobile, has been disputed by the IO. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 03.12.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 482(2) BNSS.

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

16.03.2026
M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No