

CRM-M-53113 of 2024

2026:PHHC:012615



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-53113 of 2024

Date of decision: 29.01.2026

Kuldeep Singh @ Gori

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: - Mr. S.S. Brar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Priyavrat Parashar, AAG, Haryana.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. Instant petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking regular bail in a case arising from FIR No.34 dated 06.04.2019 registered under Section 22(c) of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 at Police Station Baragudha, District Sarsa.

2. Briefly, the facts of the present case are that petitioner was found in possession of 1600 intoxicant prohibited tablets without any permit or licence in the area of Village Raguana. After complying with the provisions of Section 42 of the NDPS Act, petitioner was arrested and present FIR was registered.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that the petitioner is in custody since 06.04.2019 and since the prosecution witnesses were not coming

CRM-M-53113 of 2024

2026:PHHC:012615



forward for recording their statements before the trial Court, he was enlarged on interim bail by this Court vide order dated 11.12.2024. He further submits that the petitioner is appearing regularly before the trial Court. He further submits that all the prosecution witnesses have been examined and the case is fixed for defence evidence.

4. *Per contra*, learned State counsel has opposed the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner by submitting that the petitioner is involved in another case bearing FIR No.140 dated 20.09.2018 registered under Section 22(c) of the NDPS Act at Police Station Ding, District Sirsa.

5. To controvert the said contention of the learned State counsel, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the said case, petitioner has been convicted and his sentence has been suspended.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. Keeping in view the fact that investigation in the present case is complete; all the prosecution witnesses have been examined and the case is now fixed for defence evidence and the petitioner is in custody since 06.04.2019, interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 11.12.2024 is confirmed.

8. Disposed of.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

29.01.2026
R.S.

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No