



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

257

CWP-28938-2022**Date of Decision: 27.04.2026**

SALIL BINDRA

...Petitioner

Versus

RESERVE BANK OF INDIA AND ORS

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present:- Mr. V.K. Sachdeva, Advocate with
Mr. Pulkit Sachdeva, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Gourav Goel, Advocate,
for respondent-Bank (through V.C.).

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Counsel for the petitioner states that by virtue of order dated 30.09.2022, Annexure P-1, passed by this Court, decision dated 13.07.2022 as well as publication dated 15.09.2022, by which petitioner has been declared as a wilful defaulter, were set aside. He points out that respondent-Bank was directed to issue fresh show cause notice to the petitioner as well as provide him a personal hearing before taking any further proceeding in the matter.

2. Mr. Sachdeva states that the petitioner approached this Court as the Bank failed to remove the name of petitioner from the record of wilful defaulters. He submits that upon issuance of notice, a short reply has been filed on behalf of respondent-Bank annexing therewith a copy of



communication dated 21.12.2022, Annexure R-2/1, which is reproduced hereunder:-

“Subject: Compliance of order dated 30.09.2022 passed by Hon’ble High Court of Punjab and Haryana in CWP No.22783/2022.

With reference to the captioned subject, we have to inform that Bank’s Wilful Defaulter Screening Committee has approved for dropping further process for Wilful Defaulter Declaration against you (Guarantor) in the account of Concept Cartonz Private Limited.

Further, your name has not been reported to Credit Information Companies as Wilful Defaulter in the account of Concept Cartonz Private Limited.

In this connection, the bank will issue a corrigendum public notice in newspaper withdrawing the public notice dated 15.09.2022.

This is for your information.”

3. Mr. Goel, counsel for respondent-Bank, submits that in pursuance to communication, Annexure R2/1, publication was made in two newspapers on 22.12.2022, whereby petitioner’s name has been withdrawn by the Bank from the list of wilful defaulters.

4. Mr. Sachdeva states that in view of the above, writ petition has become infructuous and may be disposed of as such.

5. Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

April 27, 2026
harish

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No



Whether reportable	Yes/No
--------------------	--------