



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

216

CRM-M-50136-2025 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 02.02.2026

SARABJIT SINGH @ SABHI

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

.....Respondents

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present Mr. Gurwant Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, seeking grant of anticipatory bail filed in case bearing FIR No.269 dated 08.11.2023 under Sections 307, 323, 324, 148, 149 of IPC registered at Police Station Model Town, Hoshiarpur.

2. Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI-Ashok Kumar submitted that in pursuance of order dated 24.09.2025 passed by a Coordinate Bench of this Court, the petitioner was directed to join investigation. Further, on the last date of hearing i.e. on 20.11.2025, the petitioner prayed for one more opportunity to join the same and as such, the



petitioner was again directed to join the investigation, however, the petitioner has not joined the investigation till date.

3. Keeping in view the contentions of learned counsel for the State and the fact that despite being given various opportunities, the petitioner has failed to join the investigation. As such, in view of the conduct on behalf of the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant the concession of anticipatory bail and the present petition is hereby dismissed.

02.02.2026
Sonia Puri

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No



On advance notice, Mr. Karan Veer Singh, Sr. DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that the present petitioner has received a sum of Rs.4 lakh as commission out of the amount received by his co-accused namely, Kuldeep Singh @ Deepa from the complainant; the petitioner is running a travel agency with his co-accused namely, Kuldeep Singh @ Deepa and defrauds people on the pretext of sending them abroad and hence, the petitioner does not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail.