



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CM No. 11701-CII of 2026;
CM No. 11702-CII of 2026 in/and
Transfer Application No. 1143 of 2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 01.07.2026**

Smt. Mandeep Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

Harpreet Singh

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Sandeep Kashyap, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Umesh Aggarwal, Advocate
for the respondent.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

CM No. 11701-CII of 2026

Prayer in the present application moved on behalf of the applicant-petitioner is for preponing the date of hearing of main case from 15.09.2026 to an earlier date.

Notice of the application.

Learned counsel for the non-applicant/respondent-husband accepts notice and raises no objection against the prayer made in the application.

In view of the above and with the consent of learned counsel for the parties, hearing of the main case is preponed and taken up today itself.

Accordingly, the application is **disposed of**.

TA-1143-2025 (O&M)

The petitioner-wife, by way of present application, seeks transfer of case bearing *HMA No. 867 of 2025*, dated 30.04.2025, titled *“Harpreet Singh Versus Smt. Mandeep Kaur”*, filed under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 (*hereinafter to be referred as “divorce petition”*) at the instance of respondent-husband; from the Court of learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Amritsar to the Court of learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Tarn Taran.

[2] Briefly stating, the marriage between the petitioner and respondent was solemnized on 18.09.2023 and on account of matrimonial discord between the parties, the above mentioned divorce petition came to be preferred at the instance of respondent-husband. Thereafter, the present application seeking its transfer came to be filed by the petitioner-wife.

[3] Learned counsel for the petitioner, *inter alia*, contends that the petitioner-wife is permanent resident of Patti, Tarn Taran; serving with the Punjab Police and presently posted at Judicial Complex, Tarn Taran, thus, prayer is for transfer of the above mentioned divorce petition filed at the instance of respondent-husband from Family Court, Amrtisar to Family Court, Tarn Taran.

[4] On the other hand, learned counsel for the respondent vehemently opposes the prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

[5] I have heard learned counsel for the parties and gone through the paper-book.

[6] In the present case, admittedly, the petitioner-wife has filed the following two petitions which are pending adjudication at the Court

Complex, Tarn Taran:-

(1) Complaint under Section 12 read with Sections 17, 18, 19, 20 & 22 of the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005; pending before the Court of learned SDJM, Patti, Tarn Taran; and

(2) Petition under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act for restitution of conjugal rights; pending before the Court of learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Tarn Taran.

[7] As per the averments made in the present transfer application, the petitioner-wife is serving with the Punjab Police and presently posted at Judicial Complex, Tarn Taran.

[8] Furthermore, the Hon'ble Supreme Court in its decision rendered in **Manjula Singh Chouhan Versus Vishal singh Chouhan**, reported as **2019 (13) SCC 660** held that, in the interest of both the parties, all connected matrimonial proceedings should ordinarily be heard by the same Court and, accordingly, allowed the transfer application therein.

[9] Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, in the humble opinion of this Court, it would be in the interest of both the parties that all their cases be heard together by the same Court. Consequently the transfer application is **allowed** and the divorce petition under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act i.e. **HMA/867/2025**, titled "**Harpreet Singh Versus Smt. Mandeep Kaur**", filed by the respondent-husband, stands transferred from the Family Court, Amritsar, to the Court of competent jurisdiction at Family Court, Tarn Taran. The requisite record of the aforesaid case be sent

[4]

[10] Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether Speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>