



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-34910-2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 16th February, 2026.

DR. YUDHVIR SINGH DAHIYA AND OTHERSPetitioner(s)

V/s

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHERRespondent(s)

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA**
 HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHIT KAPOOR

Present Mr. R. N. Lohan, Advocate, and
 Ms. Shivani Mishra, Advocate,
 for the petitioners.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA, J. (Oral)

1. The controversy raised in the present case stands answered by the Division Bench of this Court in **R.K. Aggarwal and Others** Vs. **State of Haryana and Others** ; 2013 (4) SCT 286. On this aspect, learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem*. The only issue as to whether the requisite benefits in terms of the aforesaid judgement have been extended to the petitioners or not.

2. In this regard, we permit the petitioners to approach the respondents highlighting the facts which would indicate that the benefits in terms of the aforementioned judgment have not been extended to them. It goes without saying that such plea of the petitioners would be accorded consideration and benefits found due and payable, if any, in terms of the

judgment of the R.K. Aggarwal (Supra), would be extended to the petitioners within a period of three months thereafter.

3. The Writ Petition stands **disposed of** in the aforesaid terms.
4. All pending applications, if any, in this case are dismissed of accordingly.

[ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA]
JUDGE

[ROHIT KAPOOR]
JUDGE

February 16, 2026

Ess Kay

<i>Whether speaking / reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes</i>	/	<i>No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes</i>	/	<i>No</i>