



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

221

CM-2572-LPA-2023,
CM-2573-LPA-2023 in/&
LPA-1005-2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 23.03.2026

LAUNGLATA THAKRAN

...Appellant

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present:- None for the appellant.

Mr. Aman Mittal, DAG, Haryana.

Ms.Nikita Goel, Advocate for respondent No.3.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

1. No one had appeared on behalf of the applicant-appellant on the last date of hearing. Today again, there is no representation on behalf of the applicant-appellant. Hence, it can safely be presumed that the applicant-appellant is not interested in pursuing the application for condonation of delay in filing as well as refiling the appeal, accordingly the same are dismissed for non-prosecution. Meaning thereby, the appeal is also dismissed for non-prosecution.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

March 23, 2026
harish

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No