



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

135

CRM-M-49643-2025
Date of decision: 16.03.2026

BHAGANNA MAHADEO FULARI ALIAS KRISHNA FULARI
.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB
.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: - Mr. Davis Jindal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Dr. (Ms.) Savi Nagpal, Asst. A.G. Punjab.

Mr. Aditya Sharma, Advocate for complainant.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No. 14 dated 15.09.2024, registered under Section(s) 318(4), 336(3), 338, 340(2), 61(1) of BNS, 2023 and Section 66 of the Information & Technology Act, 2000 at Cyber Crime Police Station, Rupnagar.
2. At the very outset, learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner as well as the counsel for the complainant contend that the present FIR had emanated from a financial dispute between the parties and that with the intervention of the respectables, the matter has been amicably resolved

between them.

3. Counsel for the complainant has filed the affidavit dated 16.03.2026 of Hem Raj (complainant herein) in the Court today and the same is taken on record. He contends that he would have no objection in case the petitioner herein is granted concession of regular bail.

4. I have heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respective parties.

5. Without adverting any further to the merits of the case and taking into consideration the period of custody of nearly 08 months already undergone by the petitioner and taking into consideration that the case is triable by the Court of Magistrate and the matter has been amicably resolved between the parties, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

6. The instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bond/surety bond to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

7. It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witnesses in any manner directly or indirectly.

8. The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the Trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

MARCH 16, 2026

Vishal Sharma

**(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No