



CRM-M-49255-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

117

**CRM-M-49255-2025
Decided on :09.03.2026**

Lovedeep Sharma @ Lovepreet Lavi @ Lavi Sharma

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. P.K.S. Phoolka, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr.DAG, Punjab.

-.-

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Present second petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 seeking regular bail in case FIR No.122 dated 17.10.2024, under Sections 118(1), 126(2), 115(2), 351(3), 191(3) and 190 of BNS (Section 109 of BNS was added later on), registered at Police Station City Rampura, District Bathinda.

2. Complainant-Gurkanwal Singh, aged 19 years and studying in 12th class, lodged an FIR alleging that the accused, namely (i) Lakhwinder Singh @ Lucky, (ii) Lavpreet Singh @ Lavi, and (iii) Prabh, used to harass him while studying together. After the complainant changed his college, on 12.10.2014 at about 6:30 PM, the three aforementioned accused, along with three other unidentified persons, came and surrounded him.

**CRM-M-49255-2025****2**

Further alleged that; accused Lavpreet Singh @ Lavi gave a lalkara to “teach a lesson”;

Accused-Lakhwinder Singh @ Lucky struck the complainant on the left bicep with a dah;

Accused-Lavpreet Singh @ Lavi also struck the back of the neck of the complainant with a sword; and

Accused-Prabh struck the left side of the hip of the complainant with a weapon.

After the complainant fell on the ground, the unidentified persons also inflicted blows with their legs.

While the complainant was lying on the ground, accused Lavpreet Singh @ Lavi allegedly struck the back of the left shoulder with a sword. On the intervention of the complainant’s maternal uncle, Baljinder Singh, the accused reportedly fled from the spot.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that after completion of the investigation, final report was submitted and charges were framed on 21.05.2025. Petitioner is attributed the role of giving a lalkara and also striking the complainant with a sword at the back of the neck and the back of the left shoulder. Counsel for the petitioner further submits that none of the injuries sustained by the complainant are on vital parts of the body.

Learned counsel further submits that one of co-accused, Lakhwinder Singh @ Lucky, has already been released on anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 07.01.2025, passed in CRM-M-56905-2024 (P-2), and another co-accused, Jindri @ Pravjinder Singh, has also been granted anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 07.01.2025,



CRM-M-49255-2025

3

passed in CRM-M-59092-2024 (P-3). In view of the above, learned counsel prays for grant of regular bail to the petitioner.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel submits that total five injuries have been sustained by injured, four of which were caused by a sharp weapon. One of the injuries inflicted on the neck was declared dangerous to life, and the same has been attributed to the petitioner, thus, prays for dismissal of present petition.

5. It is noticed that the evidence of the material witnesses, including the injured, is yet to be recorded. In view of the same, present petition is **disposed of** with the observation that it will be open for the petitioner to file a fresh petition after recording of the evidence of material witness(s), particularly in view of the allegation that the petitioner is attributed with inflicting the main injury on the neck, which has been declared dangerous to life.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

09.03.2026***Rashmi***

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*