



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

248

CRM-M-51843-2024(O&M)

Date of decision: 25.05.2026

Tilak Raj

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Haryana and another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Raghav Bali and Mr. Sikander Mehta, Advocates for
Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Onkar Singh Wahla, Sr. DAG, Haryana with
ASI Jaipal, P.S. Pundri.

Mr. Shivam Chaudhary, Advocate for respondent No.2.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed challenging the condition of bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 17.11.2022 passed by this Court in CRM-M-13319-2021.

2. On 27.11.2024, the petitioner was directed to deposit the cost of Rs.2,00,000/- within a period of 04 weeks, in terms of the undertaking given by him and on such contingency, the operation of impugned order dated 20.03.2023 was directed to be stayed.

3. Learned State Counsel on instructions from ASI Jaipal, Police Station Pundri, contends that the petitioner has neither appeared before the Trial Court nor deposited the cost as per his undertaking given before this Court and that he has also been declared as a proclaimed person in the meanwhile.

4. In the aforesaid circumstances, it seems that the petitioner is willfully absenting himself from the process of law and does not intend to

submit himself to the process of law being an absconder and has failed to appear despite his own undertaking and the interim granted.

5. Since the petitioner has failed to appear before the Trial Court despite his own undertaking before this Court and been declared as a proclaimed person in the meanwhile, the present petition is dismissed at this stage.

6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

25.05.2026

Sumit Gusain

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No