



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

120

1) CRM-M-49093-2025
Decided on : 19.02.2026

Bhag Singh alias Purn Singh . . . Petitioner(s)
Versus
State of Punjab . . . Respondent(s)

2) CRM-M-51640-2025

Jaskaran Das @ Jassa Singh . . . Petitioner(s)
Versus
State of Punjab . . . Respondent(s)

3) CRM-M-53353-2025

Jagtar Singh @ Tari Singh . . . Petitioner(s)
Versus
State of Punjab . . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. J.K. Singla, Advocate,
Ms. Shivani Singla, Advocate and
Ms. Suman Rani, Advocate
for the petitioner(s) (in CRM-M-49093-2025).

Ms. Priyanka Dhillon, Advocate for
Mr. Kiratpal Dhaliwal, Advocate
for the petitioner(s) (in CRM-M-49093-2025).

Mr. P.S. Sekhon, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Parshant, Advocate
for the petitioner(s) (in CRM-M-53353-2025).

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. This order shall dispose of CRM-M-49093-2025, CRM-M-51640-2025 & CRM-M-53353-2025, as both the petitions are interconnected and have arisen out of same FIR. However, the lead case is CRM-M-49093-

2025.

2. The instant petitions have been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 439 Cr.P.C.), for grant of regular bail to the petitioners, during the pendency of trial, who have been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed here-under:-

Name of Petitioner(s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Bhag Singh alias Purn Singh (petitioner in CRM-M-49093-2025)	89	20.09.2024	117(2), 115(2), 324(4) of BNS, 2023 [S. 118(1), 110, 190, 191 of BNS, 2023, added later on]	Joga	Mansa
Jaskaran Das @ Jassa Singh (petitioner in CRM-M-51640-2025)	89	20.09.2024	117(2), 115(2), 324(4) of BNS, 2023 [S. 110, 118, 190 & 191 of BNS, 2023, added later on, vide DDR No.38]	Joga	Mansa
Jagtar Singh @ Tari Singh (petitioner in CRM-M-51640-2025)	89	20.09.2024	110, 117(2), 115(2), 118(1), 324(4), 190, 191 of of BNS, 2023	Joga	Mansa

3. Injured in the present case is Amrik Singh, who is stated to have sustained injuries at the hands of the accused persons (petitioners herein) along with other unknown persons.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner – Bhag Singh @ Purn Singh (in CRM-M-49093-2025) has produced photocopies of the statements of injured Amrik Singh and another eye-witness, namely, Karamjit Singh, and submits that both the eye-witnesses have not supported the case of the prosecution and have been declared hostile by the prosecution.

Photocopies of the statements dated 16.02.2026 of both the eye-witnesses, i.e., Amrik Singh as PW-1 and Karamjit Singh as PW-2, are taken on record. Office to tag the same at appropriate place.

5. The relevant part of the examination-in-chief of injured/eye-witness – Amrik Singh (PW-1), is reproduced as under:-

“ Stated that on 18.9.2024, I had gone to Gurdwara Sahib at village Alilsher Kalan. While I was on the way, some

unidentified persons with muffled faces wrongly restrained me and caused injuries to me by means of sword and iron rod blows. I raised alarm. Then the said unknown persons fled away from the spot with their respectable persons. I know the accused persons shown through video conferencing but they never caused injuries to me along with Baljit Singh, Sahibjit Singh and Gurpreet Singh.

At this stage, learned Public Prosecutor has requested that the witness is suppressing the truth and he may be declared hostile and permission be granted to cross examine the witness (Request heard and allowed)."

Similarly, the relevant part of the examination-in-chief of another eye-witness – Karamjit Singh (PW-2), is reproduced as under:-

" Stated that I never suffered any statement to the police in this case. No occurrence took place in my presence. Accused present in the court never inflicted any injury to my brother nor they damaged his Car.

At this stage, learned Public Prosecutor has requested that the witness is suppressing the truth and he may be declared hostile and permission be granted to cross examine the witness (Request heard and allowed)."

6. Since the material eye-witnesses, including the injured himself, have not supported the case of the prosecution and have been declared hostile, the culpability of the petitioners herein and other accused persons would now be a matter to be adjudicated by the trial Court on the basis of the entire evidence led before it, including cross-examination and any other corroborative material.

At this stage, when the injured and the eye-witness have not attributed any specific role to the petitioners, continued incarceration of the petitioners would not serve any useful purpose. Accordingly, in the given facts and circumstances and without commenting upon the merits of the case,

this Court does not deem it appropriate to further curtail the personal liberty of the petitioners for an indefinite period.

Consequently, prayer made in the present petitions are **allowed**. Petitioners are ordered to be released on bail, subject to their furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/ Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Illaqa Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

7. Needless to observe that the petitioners shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

8. The observation made here-in-above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case and the Trial Court is expected to decide the case on the basis of complete evidence available on record.

Petitions stand disposed of.

Pending misc. application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

Photocopy of this order be placed on the files of other connected cases.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

February 19, 2026

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*

Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*