



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

111

CWP-26481-2025

Date of decision : 23.04.2026

Kuldeep Kaur

....Petitioner

V/S

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanav Singla, A.A.G., Punjab.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking issuance of a writ of certiorari for quashing the impugned order dated 11.09.2024 (Annexure P-14), vide which the claim of the petitioner for absorbing or regularization of services of the petitioner at par with her counterparts and grant of corresponding pay scales w.e.f. date of appointment or pay the minimum pay of the respective grades of the corresponding categories of teaching staff, has been rejected. Further, seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to pay the basic pay of the respective grades of the corresponding categories of teaching staff as per conditions of affiliation of Punjab School Education Board Notification dated 15.07.2024 (Annexure P-3) w.e.f. the date of appointment of the petitioner and to take over or absorb or regularize the services of the petitioner at par with her counterparts and grant her the corresponding pay scales w.e.f. the date of appointment.



CWP-26481-2025

-2-

2. On 24.09.2025, the following order was passed :-

“A reply by way of an affidavit of Assistant Director, O/o Director School Education (Secondary), Punjab has been filed on behalf of respondents No.1 and 3 and the same is taken on record.

Learned State counsel submits that the petitioner had left the job after 30.08.2016 on her own accord and thereafter, she has not joined the service. Thus, the present writ petition is not maintainable before this Court.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for time to address arguments.

Adjourned to 29.09.2025.”

3. Thereafter, learned counsel for the petitioner had not appeared and the case was adjourned on 29.09.2025, 15.10.2025 & 15.12.2025, 22.12.2025, 24.12.2025 & 05.02.2026. Even today also the position is the same. It appears that the petitioner is not interested to pursue the instant petition.

4. Moreover, once the petitioner had already left the job way back on 13.08.2016, she cannot claim regularization by filing the instant petition in the year 2025 i.e. after a lapse of 09 years.

5. Dismissed.

23.04.2026*kothiyal***(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No