



CRM-M-49130-2025

-1-

(109)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-49130-2025

Date of Decision: 24.02.2026

JUGRAJ SINGH

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CRM-M-49905-2025

KARAN SINGH

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Rishu Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioner in CRM-M-49130-2025.

Mr. Lovish Rattan, Advocate
for the petitioner in CRM-M-49905-2025.

Mr. Harkanwar Jeet Singh, Asstt. A.G., Punjab.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.

This order shall dispose of two petitions bearing No.CRM-M-49130-2025 titled as Jugraj Singh versus State of Punjab and CRM-M-49905-2025 titled as Karan Singh Versus State of Punjab as the same are arising out of the same FIR. However, for the sake of convenience the facts have been taken from CRM-M-49130-2025.

**CRM-M-49130-2025****-2-**

2. The prayer in the present petitions under Section 483 BNSS, 2023 is for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No.34 dated 05.06.2025 registered under Sections 25, 25(1)(a), 25(1)(b), 25(8), 29 of Arms Act and Sections 61(2) of BNS, 2023 at Police Station SSOC, District Amritsar.

3. The brief facts of the case are that Sukhchain Singh and Jugraj Singh (petitioner in CRM-M-49130-2025) were apprehended. 8 pistols of different bores came to be recovered from them. They disclosed the name of Karan Singh (petitioner in CRM-M-49905-2025).

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner-Jugraj Singh contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. The recovery of the weapons have been planted upon him. As he is in custody since 06.06.2025 but none of the 16 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far, the Trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon and therefore, he is entitled to the concession of bail.

5. The learned counsel for the petitioner-Karan Singh contends that the petitioner has been named in the disclosure statement of his co-accused. No recovery has been effected from him. As he is in custody since 06.06.2025 but none of the 16 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far, the Trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon and therefore, he is entitled to the concession of bail.

6. On the other hand, the learned State counsel contends that the allegations against the petitioners are grave. Weapons have been recovered

**CRM-M-49130-2025****-3-**

from the petitioner-Jugraj Singh and petitioner-Karan Singh is a conspirator. Further, Karan Singh is a habitual offender with five other cases registered against him. He, however, admits that the petitioners are in custody since 06.06.2025 respectively, that none of the 16 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far and that petitioner-Karan Singh is a first-time offender.

7. I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioners.

8. The veracity of the prosecution case against the petitioners and their co-accused shall be adjudicated upon during the course of the Trial. Admittedly, the petitioners are in custody since 06.06.2025 and none of the 16 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far. Therefore, the Trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon. In this situation, the further incarceration of the petitioners is not required.

9. Thus without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petitions are allowed and the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on their furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned CJM/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

10. The petitioners shall appear before the police station concerned on the first Monday of every month till the conclusion of the trial and inform in writing each time that they are not involved in any other crime other than the present case.

11. In addition, the petitioners (or anyone on their behalf) shall prepare an FDR in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- each and deposit the same with



CRM-M-49130-2025

-4-

the Trial Court. The same would be liable to be forfeited as per law in case of the absence of the petitioners from trial without sufficient cause.

12. The petitions stand disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

24.02.2026
JITESH

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No