



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

286**CRM-M-50477-2025****Date of decision: 01.04.2026**

Sameer

..... Petitioner

V/s

State of UT Chandigarh and Another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Dhruv Sihag, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rahil Mahajan, Addl. Public Prosecutor,
UT Chandigarh.

Mr. Vishal Garg Narwana, Advocate with
Ms. Aashima Jindal, Advocate
Mr. Khushwant Saharan, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No. 40 dated 01.04.2024, under Sections 323, 341 and 120-B of IPC and subsequently added Section 326 of IPC, registered at Police Station Sector 34 (South), Chandigarh, and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 31.08.2025 (Annexure P-2).

The counsel for the petitioner contends that initially Amit Mittal and Sanjay Garg were named in the FIR. The complainant namely Vivek Sawal entered into a compromise with the said accused and the FIR against them was quashed on 12.11.2024. Later, Amit Bansal and Sameer were

Billa who remains untraceable. The instant quashing petition has been preferred on behalf of Amit Bansal and Sameer.

Effectively, in the absence of the whereabouts and identity of Ansari @ Billa being established, only four persons have been found to be accused in the impugned FIR. Proceedings qua two of the accused already stand quashed.

Vide order dated 19.11.2025 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in terms of certain parameters given in the aforesaid order dated 19.11.2025 with regard to the compromise (Annexure P-2).

In terms of the order dated 19.11.2025 passed by this Court parties have appeared before the court of Judicial Magistrate First Class, Chandigarh and as per the report submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in ***Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543.***

In view of the aforesaid report of the Judicial Magistrate First Class, Chandigarh, accompanied by the joint statement of both the parties, the present FIR No. 40 dated 01.04.2024, under Sections 323, 341 and 120-B of IPC and subsequently added Section 326 of IPC, registered at Police Station Sector 34 (South), Chandigarh and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioner herein.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

April 01, 2026
vishal

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No