



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

115+120

Decided On: 10.09.2025

1. CWP-26069-2025

DIMPI

....PETITIONER(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENT(s)

2. CWP-26104-2025

REENA

....PETITIONER(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENT(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. Manoj Makkar, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, Senior Deputy Advocate General,
Haryana.

Mr. Anuj Dewan, Standing Counsel
for the respondents/University.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA J.(Oral)

These petitions have been filed, *inter alia*, seeking a direction to the respondents/University to grant the benefit of security of service in terms of the Haryana Contractual Employees (Security of Service) Act, 2024. The grievance is that the Act is not being made applicable to the University, and contractual employees like the petitioners have not been given the benefits in terms thereof.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents/University, on instructions, submits that the Act as such is applicable to the University which is a statutory body created under an Act of the State Legislature, and the



petitioners will be considered for extending benefits thereunder subject to their eligibility.

- 3. In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the petitioners has no objection to the petitions being disposed of in terms thereof.
- 4. Ordered accordingly.
- 5. A photocopy of this order be placed on the connected file.

10.09.2025
Ad

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No