



115 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-26194-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 25.03.2026

Amitoj Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Permanent Lok Adalat (PUS) Sangrur and Others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Mohit Kumar, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

 Mr. Mehtab Singh Bhatti, Advocate
 for respondents No.2 to 4.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of award dated 12.11.2024 whereby Permanent Lok Adalat has rejected his application.

2. As per petitioner, his father suffered loss in business and died on 05.04.2020. The petitioner in 2019 rented out his building to Jio Warehouse to use as godown. During COVID-19, the petitioner and his family members remained in hospital. The petitioner in September' 2020 requested respondent-Power Corporation to change name of the Consumer and convert SP Connection into Single Phase Meter. He received notice dated 07.06.2021 raising demand of ₹3,14,333/- from respondent-corporation. He approached PLA under Section 22C for settlement of demand notice. Learned PLA vide order dated 12.11.2024

has rejected his application.

3. As per impugned order, petitioner’s application has been rejected on the ground that PLA has no jurisdiction to adjudicate matters relating to demand under Section 126 of Electricity Act, 2003
4. Learned counsel representing the petitioner submits that he may be permitted to withdraw the petition with liberty to avail remedies as permissible by law.
5. ***Dismissed*** as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty.
6. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

25.03.2026
Prince Chawla

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No